Finding Small Roots of Polynomial Equations

Phong Nguyễn

INRIA Paris and ENS/PSL

November, 2020

Phong Nguyễn Small Roots of Polynomial Equations

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

3

Small Roots of Polynomial Equations

Coppersmith's Theorem (EURO-96)

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).

Remarks

- The particular case $P(x) = x^{\delta} c$ is easy.
- Hastad (1985) proved the weaker bound $N^{2/[\delta(\delta+1)]}$.
- Corollary: the number of small roots |*x*₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} is polynomial in (log N, δ). This was independently proved by [KonyaginSteger1994].

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

Finding all small x_0 such that $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ is a particular case of:

- GCD (1999 Many people): find all small x₀ ∈ Z such that gcd(P(x₀), N) is large. This is provable.
- Bivariate Equations over the Integers [Copper-EURO96;Coron-EURO04;BlomerMay-EURO05]: find all small (x_0, y_0) such that $P(x_0, y_0) = 0$. This is provable. Three variables and more are heuristic.
- Multivariate Congruences [Copper-EURO06;BoDu-EURO99;etc.]: find all small (x_0, y_0) such that $P(x_0, y_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. This is heuristic.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).

The GCD Generalization

- Let $\alpha = r/s \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.
- In time polynomial in (log *N*, log *r*, log *s*, δ), one can compute all integers |*x*₀| ≤ *N*^{α²/δ} such that gcd(*P*(*x*₀), *N*) ≥ *N*^α.
- Coppersmith's theorem is the particular case $\alpha = 1$.

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).
- Let $P(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{\delta-1} x^{\delta-1} + x^{\delta}$.
- Let x_0 be such that $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$
- Is x₀ related to some short vector in some lattice?

Proving Coppersmith's Theorem: A naive approach

Remember Coppersmith's Theorem

- Let $P(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{\delta-1} x^{\delta-1} + x^{\delta}$ be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).
- If $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, then $\vec{x_0} = (1, x_0, \dots, x_0^{\delta})$ belongs to the lattice *L* orthogonal mod *N* to $\vec{P} = (p_0, p_1, p_{\delta-1}, 1)$.
- When could $\vec{x_0}$ be a shortest vector of *L*?
- Do we really need to make $\vec{x_0}$ a shortest vector to find x_0 ? This is Coppersmith's original idea.
- How can we modify *L* and $\vec{x_0}$ to improve the bound *X*?

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).
- The case P(x) = x^δ c worked because we had a polynomial equation over Z satisfied by all small roots. And any univariate polynomial equation over Z can be solved in polynomial time.

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).

The Philosophy of the Proof (following [Howgrave97])

- Any sufficiently small integer must be zero. This is how congruences can sometimes be transformed into equations over Z.
- Using lattice reduction, we will find a univariate polynomial equation over ℤ satisfied by all the small roots x₀.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほとう

- Let P(x) be a monic polynomial of degree δ and N an integer of unknown factorization.
- In time polynomial in (log N, δ), one can compute all integers |x₀| ≤ N^{1/δ} such that P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N).

Idea 1

- Consider Q(x) = P(x)/N ∈ Q[x]. If P(x₀) ≡ 0 (mod N), then Q(x₀) ∈ Z.
- Can we make sure that Q(x₀) is actually zero?

A Sufficient Condition

- Assume that $|x_0| \leq X$ for some known bound *X*.
- Write $Q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q_i x^i$ and let $||Q(x)||^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q_i^2$. Then: $Q(x_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (q_i X^i) \cdot (x_0/X)^i$.
- Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$Q(\mathbf{x_0})|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (q_i X^i)^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (\mathbf{x_0}/X)^{2i}\right) \leq \|Q(xX)\|^2 (n+1).$$

• So if ever $||Q(xX)|| < 1/\sqrt{1 + \deg Q}$, then $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ implies $Q(x_0) = 0$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

To Summarize

- To find all small $|x_0| \le X$ such that $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, it suffices to find $Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that:
 - $||Q(xX)|| < 1/\sqrt{1 + \deg Q}$: a certain norm is small.
 - $Q(x_0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$.
- What are the possible candidates for such a *Q*(*x*) ?
 - Q(x) = P(x)/N.
 - Every polynomial $Q_{u,v}(x) = x^u (P(x)/N)^v$ where $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - And any integral linear combination of such polynomials!
- In other words, it suffices to find a short vector in a lattice.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

An Example with δ + 1 Polynomials

- We identify polynomials of degree $\leq \delta$ to vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^{\delta+1}$.
- We build the lattice spanned by the polynomials $Q_{0,0}(xX), Q_{1,0}(xX), \ldots, Q_{\delta-1,0}(xX), Q_{0,1}(xX)$ that is $1, Xx, X^2x^2, \ldots, X^{\delta-1}x^{\delta-1}$ and P(xX)/N.

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & X^{\delta - 1} & 0 \\ ? & \cdots & \ddots & ? & X^{\delta} / N \end{pmatrix}$$

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ● の Q @

An Example with δ + 1 Polynomials

- The lattice has dimension $\delta + 1$.
- Its volume is $X^{1+2+\dots+\delta-1} \times X^{\delta}/N = X^{\delta(\delta+1)/2}/N$.
- So using the LLL algorithm, we can find efficiently a non-zero lattice vector shorter than $2^{(\delta)/2} \text{vol}(L)^{1/(\delta+1)} \approx X^{\delta/2} / N^{1/(\delta+1)}$.
- In other words, we can find a non-zero $Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that roughly, $\|Q(xX)\| \leq X^{\delta/2}/N^{1/(\delta+1)}$.
- We need $\|Q(xX)\| < 1/\sqrt{\delta+1}$, This will hold if roughly, $X \ll N^{2/[\delta(\delta+1)]}$.
- We've just proved Hastad's 1985 result: we can find all roots |x₀| ≤ N^{2/[δ(δ+1)]}.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The Proof

- More generally, we take hδ polynomials where h grows to infinity:
- $Q_{0,0}(xX), Q_{1,0}(xX), \ldots, Q_{\delta-1,0}(xX),$
- $Q_{0,1}(xX), Q_{1,1}(xX), \ldots, Q_{\delta-1,1}(xX),$

• :

- $Q_{0,h}(xX), Q_{1,h}(xX), \ldots, Q_{\delta-1,h}(xX).$
- The lattice volume is easy to compute. The LLL bound gives a bound X which grows to $N^{1/\delta}/\sqrt{2}$ when h grows to ∞ . h should not be too big to ensure polynomial time.
- We thus obtain all integers $|x_0| \le N^{1/\delta}$ such that $P(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

- Consider a linear combination Q(x) of the $Q_{u,v}(x) = x^u (P(x)/N)^v$ where $0 \le v \le h$.
- If the gcd of P(x₀) with N is ≥ N^α, then Q(x₀) is a rational number whose denominator is ≤ N^{h(1-α)}.
- This rational is therefore zero if $< 1/N^{h(1-\alpha)}$.
- This still reduces the problem to finding short lattice vectors, but the proof is more technical.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの