Lattice-based Signatures

Phong Nguyễn





October 2020



Today

Lattice Analogues of:
Rabin signatures
Identity-based Encryption with Lattices

The Early Days: Insecure Lattice Signature

GGH Signature

 \circ Message = m in Z^n/L

- Sign m into a close lattice point, using Babai's approx-CVP.
- A signature must belong to the lattice, and be close to the message.



Key Generation in GGH

- Pick some high-dim lattice
 Secret key = very good basis
 - o Public key = very bad basis



• The secret key allows to approximate CVP within a good factor.

What is NTRUSign?

 NTRUSign [CT-RSA 2003] was an efficient signature scheme considered by IEEE P1363 standards.

• It is a compact instantiation of the GGH signature scheme.

 Former (very technical) NTRU signature schemes (2001) did not really correspond to NTRU encryption, and were shown to be totally insecure.

The NTRUSign Secret Basis

• Generated by the rows of: $\int f_0 f_1 \cdots f_{n-1} g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{n-1}$ $f_{n-1}f_0 \cdots f_{n-2}g_{n-1}g_0 \cdots g_{n-2}$ $f_1 \cdots f_{n-1} f_0 g_1 \cdots g_{n-1} g_0$ F_0 F_1 \cdots F_{n-1} G_0 G_1 \cdots G_{n-1} $F_{n-1}F_0 \cdots F_{n-2}G_{n-1}G_0 \cdots G_{n-2}$ $F_1 \cdots F_{n-1} F_0 \quad G_1 \cdots G_{n-1} G_0$

n = 251

Security of GGH/NTRU Signatures

- GGH signatures leak information on the secret key [GeSz02]: potential attack in [Szydlo03].
- O[NgReO6]: an efficient key-recovery attack.
- The analogues of GGH-encryption challenges have been solved.
- Half of NTRUSign parameter sets have been attacked (400 signatures).

Learning a Parallelepiped from (Messages,Signatures)

 Each difference message-signature lies in the parallelepiped spanned by the secret basis. Likely to have uniform distribution over the secret parallelepiped.





The Attack: How to Learn a Parallelepiped



Stage 1: Morphing

 It is not difficult to reduce the general case to the case where the parallelepiped is an n-dim centered unit



Stage 1: Morphing

- Consider y=xB where $x \in R[-1,1]^n$
 - \circ Then y^t y = B^t x^t x B
 - Exp(y[†] y) converges to a multiple of G = B[†]
 B.
 - Now compute a matrix L s.t. $G^{-1} = L L^{\dagger}$
 - Then C=BL satisfies C $C^{\dagger} = BG^{-1}B^{\dagger} = I_n$.

 So C is orthogonal and yL = xC is uniformly distributed over some hypercube.

Towards Stage 2

- Let D be the uniform distribution over an n-dim centered unit hypercube.
 Let *u* be a unit vector.
- For any k in N, it is easy to compute: $\operatorname{Exp}_{\vec{v}\in D}\left(\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle^k\right)$ • It is zero if k is odd.

Playing with Moments



• The second moment is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\langle \vec{u}, \rangle) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\vec{v}}(\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle^2) = \dots = 1/3$$

• The fourth moment is:

$$\operatorname{Kur}(\langle \vec{u}, \rangle) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\vec{v}}(\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle^4) = \dots = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{15} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^4$$

where $u_i = \langle \vec{u}, \vec{c}_i \rangle$

In a random direction: ≈1/3
In direction of any c_i: ≈1/3-2/15=1/5

Stage 2: Minimizing a Multivariate Function

 Th: the 2n vectors ±c_i are the only local minima of the fourth moment.

 Finding a basis of the parallelepiped amounts to finding sufficiently many local minima of the fourth moment.



Stage 2: Gradient Descent

• We solve this minimization problem using a gradient descent.
• Here, the descent can be proved,

because our function is very nice.

12

1.5

1 0.5 0

-0.5



Countermeasures

 Signatures should not leak information on the secret key.

 Practical countermeasures by IEEE-IT and NTRUSign were also broken in [DuNg12].

• But there is a secure countermeasure...

Rabin's Signature with Lattices





Rabin Signature

- Let N=pq. where p≠q large primes. Then f(x)=x² mod N is a one-way function over {0,...,N-1}.
- If one knows the trapdoor (p,q), one can invert f: each square has 4 preimages, and one can select one preimage uniformly at random.
- Rabin uses this preimage sampling to give a provablysecure signature scheme based on factoring in the random-oracle model: the distributions (x,f(x)) and (f⁻¹(H(m)),H(m)) are statistically close.
- •Random collisions in f allow to factor.

Lattice Signature Using Trapdoor

 [GPV08] is a lattice analogue of Rabin signature.

What will replace the Rabin squaring function?

• What will replace square root sampling?
• The security proof is essentially the same.

Inverting ISIS/SIS

- Pick g=(g₁,...,g_m) uniformly at random from G^m.
 f_g(x₁,...,x_m)=Σ_i x_i g_i where x₁,...,x_m are small integers.
- f_g is surjective with many preimages: inverting f_g means finding a preimage with suitable distribution, namely some discrete Gaussian distribution. Inverting can be done by Gaussian sampling.



Gaussian Measure

- Though lattices are infinite, there is a natural probability distribution over lattice points, introduced by [Ba1993] for transference.
- This Gaussian measure was implicitly used in [KleinOO]'s randomized variant of Babai's nearestplane algorithm to solve BDD.
- [Regev2005] noted that the Gaussian measure could sometimes be sampled.
- [GPV2008] rediscovered [Klein00] and showed that it samples from the Gaussian measure.



Gaussian Measure

o Center c, parameter s \circ Mass of x \in L proportional to $\rho_{s,\vec{c}}(\vec{x}) = e^{-\pi \|\frac{\vec{x}-\vec{c}}{s}\|^2}$ • The distribution is independent of the basis. o Introduced in [Ba93], then

 Introduced in [Ba93], then used in cryptography in [Cai99,Regev03,MiRe04,...]



Gaussian Sampling

 [GPV08] rediscovered [Kl00] but provided a more complete analysis: given a lattice basis, one can sample lattice points according to the discrete Gaussian distribution in poly-time, as long as the mean norm is somewhat larger than the basis norms.



Sampling and Public-Key Crypto

- Security proofs require (rigorous) probability distributions and efficient sampling.
- In classical PKC, a typical distribution is the uniform distribution over a finite group.
- Ex: The lack of nice probability distribution was problematic for braid cryptography.
- Gaussian lattice sampling is a crucial tool for lattice-based cryptography.

Lattice Signature [GPV08]

- Secret key = Good basis
 Public key = Bad basis
- \circ Message = m in Z^n/L



- Signature = a lattice point chosen with discrete Gaussian distribution close to m.
- Verification = check that the signature is a lattice point, close to m.

Lattice Signature with SIS [GPV08]

o Secret key = Trapdoor

- Public key = g=(g₁,...,g_m) uniformly distributed
 over G^m
- \circ Hashed message = m \in G
- Signature = $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ produced by Gaussian sampling s.t. $m = \sum_i x_i g_i$

• Verification = Check m= $\Sigma_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{g}_i$ with $(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_m)$

small.

Security Argument in the ROM

• Same as Rabin:

 The distributions ((x₁,...,x_m),f_g(x₁,...,x_m)) and (f_g⁻¹(H(m)),H(m)) are statistically close.

 Random collisions in f_g(x₁,...,x_m) allow to solve SIS, like in the lattice-based hash function.

Lattice Identity-based Encryption

BALLED BELLE TO THE STORE THE STORE STORE



ID-Based Encryption from Lattices [GPV08]

- It turns out that the GPV signature is compatible with dual GLWE encryption.
 Master key = Lattice trapdoor
 Parameters: g=(g1,...,gm) uniformly distributed over G^m
 - Secret-key extraction= $(x_1,...,x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ produced by Gaussian sampling s.t. ID = $\sum x_i g_i$

Non-Trapdoor Signatures

 There is another design for lattice-based signatures based on identification schemes from the Discrete Log world.

 This is related to Fiat-Shamir and proofs of knowledge.

NIST's finalist Dilithium is based on this philosophy.