

Markov processes and queueing networks

Laurent Massoulié

Inria

September 22, 2015

- Poisson processes
- Markov jump processes
- Some queueing networks

The Poisson distribution (Siméon-Denis Poisson, 1781-1840)



$\left\{ e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ As prevalent as Gaussian distribution

The Poisson distribution (Siméon-Denis Poisson, 1781-1840)



$\{e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ As prevalent as Gaussian distribution

Law of *rare events* (a.k.a. *law of small numbers*)

$p_{n,j} \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_j p_{n,j} = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j p_{n,j} = \lambda > 0$

The Poisson distribution (Siméon-Denis Poisson, 1781-1840)



$\{e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ As prevalent as Gaussian distribution

Law of *rare events* (a.k.a. *law of small numbers*)

$p_{n,j} \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_j p_{n,j} = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j p_{n,j} = \lambda > 0$

Then $X_n = \sum_j Z_{n,j}$ with $Z_{n,j}$: independent Bernoulli($p_{n,j}$) verifies

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

Definition

Point process on \mathbb{R}_+ :

Collection of random times $\{T_n\}_{n>0}$ with $0 < T_1 < T_2 \dots$

Alternative description

Collection $\{N_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with $N_t := \sum_{n>0} \mathbb{I}_{T_n \in [0, t]}$

Yet another description

Collection $\{N(C)\}$ for all measurable $C \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ where

$$N(C) := \sum_{n>0} \mathbb{I}_{T_n \in C}$$

Definition

Point process such that for all $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

- ① Increments $\{N_{s_i} - N_{s_{i-1}}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ independent
- ② Law of $N_{t+s} - N_s$ only depends on t
- ③ for some $\lambda > 0$, $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

Definition

Point process such that for all $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

- ① Increments $\{N_{s_i} - N_{s_{i-1}}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ independent
- ② Law of $N_{t+s} - N_s$ only depends on t
- ③ for some $\lambda > 0$, $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

In fact, (3) follows from (1)–(2)

Definition

Point process such that for all $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

- ① Increments $\{N_{s_i} - N_{s_{i-1}}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ independent
- ② Law of $N_{t+s} - N_s$ only depends on t
- ③ for some $\lambda > 0$, $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

In fact, (3) follows from (1)–(2)

λ is called the **intensity** of the process

A first construction

Given $\lceil \lambda n \rceil$ i.i.d. numbers $U_{n,i}$, uniform on $[0, n]$, let

$$N_t^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{U_{n,i} \leq t}$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

$$\{N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Poisson}(\lambda(s_i - s_{i-1}))$$

A first construction

Given $\lceil \lambda n \rceil$ i.i.d. numbers $U_{n,i}$, uniform on $[0, n]$, let

$$N_t^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{U_{n,i} \leq t}$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

$$\{N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Poisson}(\lambda(s_i - s_{i-1}))$$

Proof: Multinomial distribution of $\{N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$

\Rightarrow Convergence of Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)})\right) \text{ for all } \alpha_1^k \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$$

A first construction

Given $\lceil \lambda n \rceil$ i.i.d. numbers $U_{n,i}$, uniform on $[0, n]$, let

$$N_t^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{U_{n,i} \leq t}$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_0 = 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$,

$$\{N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Poisson}(\lambda(s_i - s_{i-1}))$$

Proof: Multinomial distribution of $\{N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$

\Rightarrow Convergence of Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (N_{s_i}^{(n)} - N_{s_{i-1}}^{(n)})\right) \text{ for all } \alpha_1^k \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$$

Suggests Poisson processes exist and are limits of this construction

A second characterization

Proposition

For Poisson process $\{T_n\}_{n>0}$ of intensity λ , its interarrival times $\tau_i = T_{i+1} - T_i$, where $T_0 = 0$, verify $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ i.i.d. with common distribution $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$

A second characterization

Proposition

For Poisson process $\{T_n\}_{n>0}$ of intensity λ , its interarrival times $\tau_j = T_{j+1} - T_j$, where $T_0 = 0$, verify $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ i.i.d. with common distribution $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$

Density of $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$: $\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{I}_{x>0}$

A second characterization

Proposition

For Poisson process $\{T_n\}_{n>0}$ of intensity λ , its interarrival times $\tau_i = T_{i+1} - T_i$, where $T_0 = 0$, verify $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ i.i.d. with common distribution $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$

Density of $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$: $\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{I}_{x>0}$

Key property: Exponential random variable τ is **memoryless**,
i.e. $\forall t > 0, \mathbb{P}(\tau - t \in \cdot | \tau > t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau \in \cdot)$

A third characterization

Proposition

Process with i.i.d., $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ interarrival times $\{\tau_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ can be constructed on $[0, t]$ by

- 1) Drawing $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- 2) Putting N_t points U_1, \dots, U_{N_t} on $[0, t]$ where U_i : i.i.d. uniform on $[0, t]$

A third characterization

Proposition

Process with i.i.d., $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ interarrival times $\{\tau_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ can be constructed on $[0, t]$ by

- 1) Drawing $N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- 2) Putting N_t points U_1, \dots, U_{N_t} on $[0, t]$ where U_i : i.i.d. uniform on $[0, t]$

Proof.

Establish identity for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(\tau_0, \tau_0 + \tau_1, \dots, \tau_0 + \dots + \tau_{n-1}) \mathbb{I}_{N_t=n}] = \dots$$

$$e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \times n! \int_{(0,t]^n} \phi(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \mathbb{I}_{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n} \prod_{i=1}^n ds_i$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\text{Poisson}(\lambda t) = n) \times \mathbb{E}[\phi(S_1, \dots, S_n)]$$

where S_i^n : sorted version of i.i.d. variables uniform on $[0, t]$



Laplace transform of Poisson processes

Definition

The Laplace transform of point process $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ is the functional whose evaluation at $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) := \mathbb{E} \exp(-N(f)) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\sum_{n>0} f(T_n))).$$

Laplace transform of Poisson processes

Definition

The Laplace transform of point process $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ is the functional whose evaluation at $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) := \mathbb{E} \exp(-N(f)) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\sum_{n>0} f(T_n))).$$

Proposition: Knowledge of $\mathcal{L}_N(f)$ on sufficiently rich class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (e.g. piecewise continuous with compact support) characterizes law of point process N .

Laplace transform of Poisson processes

Definition

The Laplace transform of point process $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ is the functional whose evaluation at $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) := \mathbb{E} \exp(-N(f)) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\sum_{n>0} f(T_n))).$$

Proposition: Knowledge of $\mathcal{L}_N(f)$ on sufficiently rich class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (e.g. piecewise continuous with compact support) characterizes law of point process N .

Laplace transform of Poisson process with intensity λ :

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) = \exp(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \lambda(1 - e^{-f(x)})dx)$$

Laplace transform of Poisson processes

Definition

The Laplace transform of point process $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ is the functional whose evaluation at $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) := \mathbb{E} \exp(-N(f)) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\sum_{n>0} f(T_n))).$$

Proposition: Knowledge of $\mathcal{L}_N(f)$ on sufficiently rich class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (e.g. piecewise continuous with compact support) characterizes law of point process N .

Laplace transform of Poisson process with intensity λ :

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) = \exp(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \lambda(1 - e^{-f(x)})dx)$$

- (i) Previous construction yields expression for $\mathcal{L}_N(f)$
- (ii) For $f = \sum_i \alpha_i \mathbb{I}_{C_i} \Rightarrow N(C_i) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda \int_{C_i} dx)$, with independence for disjoint C_i . Hence existence of Poisson process...

Definition

For $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ locally integrable function, $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ point process on \mathbb{R}^d is Poisson with intensity function λ if and only if for measurable, disjoint $C_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d, i = 1, \dots, n$, $N(C_i)$ independent, $\sim \text{Poisson}(\int_{C_i} \lambda(x) dx)$

Poisson process with general space and intensity

Definition

For $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ locally integrable function, $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n>0}$ point process on \mathbb{R}^d is Poisson with intensity function λ if and only if for measurable, disjoint $C_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d, i = 1, \dots, n$, $N(C_i)$ independent, $\sim \text{Poisson}(\int_{C_i} \lambda(x) dx)$

Proposition

Such a process exists and admits Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f) = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x)(1 - e^{-f(x)}) dx\right)$$

- **Strong Markov property:** Poisson process N with intensity λ , stopping time T (i.e. $\forall t \geq 0, \{T \leq t\} \in \sigma(N_s, s \leq t)$) then on $\{T < +\infty\}$, $\{N_{T+t} - N_T\}_{t \geq 0}$: Poisson with intensity λ and independent of $\{N_s\}_{s \leq T}$

- **Strong Markov property:** Poisson process N with intensity λ , stopping time T (i.e. $\forall t \geq 0, \{T \leq t\} \in \sigma(N_s, s \leq t)$) then on $\{T < +\infty\}$, $\{N_{T+t} - N_T\}_{t \geq 0}$: Poisson with intensity λ and independent of $\{N_s\}_{s \leq T}$
- **Superposition:** For independent Poisson processes N_i with intensities $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ then $N = \sum_i N_i$: Poisson with intensity $\sum_i \lambda_i$

- **Strong Markov property:** Poisson process N with intensity λ , stopping time T (i.e. $\forall t \geq 0, \{T \leq t\} \in \sigma(N_s, s \leq t)$) then on $\{T < +\infty\}$, $\{N_{T+t} - N_T\}_{t \geq 0}$: Poisson with intensity λ and independent of $\{N_s\}_{s \leq T}$
- **Superposition:** For independent Poisson processes N_i with intensities $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ then $N = \sum_i N_i$: Poisson with intensity $\sum_i \lambda_i$
- **Thinning:** For Poisson process $N \leftrightarrow \{T_n\}_{n > 0}$ with intensity λ , $\{Z_n\}_{n > 0}$ independent of N , i.i.d., valued in $[k]$, processes $N_i : N_i(C) = \sum_{n > 0} \mathbb{I}_{T_n \in C} \mathbb{I}_{Z_n = i}$ are independent, Poisson with intensities $\lambda_i = \lambda \mathbb{P}(Z_n = i)$

Markov jump processes

Process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with values in E , countable or finite, is

Markov if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_{t_1} = x_1) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}), \\ t_1^n \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_1 < \dots < t_n, x_1^n \in E^n$$

Homogeneous if $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = y | X_s = x) =: p_{xy}(t)$ independent of s , $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x, y \in E$

Markov jump processes

Process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with values in E , countable or finite, is

Markov if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_{t_1} = x_1) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}), \\ t_1^n \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_1 < \dots < t_n, x_1^n \in E^n$$

Homogeneous if $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = y | X_s = x) =: p_{xy}(t)$ independent of s ,
 $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x, y \in E$

\Rightarrow Semi-group property $p_{xy}(t+s) = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}(t)p_{zy}(s)$,
or $P(t+s) = P(t)P(s)$ with $P(t) = \{p_{xy}(t)\}_{x,y \in E}$

Markov jump processes

Process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with values in E , countable or finite, is

Markov if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_{t_1} = x_1) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}), \\ t_1^n \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_1 < \dots < t_n, x_1^n \in E^n$$

Homogeneous if $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = y | X_s = x) =: p_{xy}(t)$ independent of s , $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x, y \in E$

\Rightarrow Semi-group property $p_{xy}(t+s) = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}(t)p_{zy}(s)$,
or $P(t+s) = P(t)P(s)$ with $P(t) = \{p_{xy}(t)\}_{x,y \in E}$

Definition

$\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a **pure jump** Markov process if in addition

- (i) It spends with probability 1 a strictly positive time in each state
- (ii) Trajectories $t \rightarrow X_t$ are right-continuous

Markov jump processes: examples

- Poisson process $\{N_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$: then Markov jump process with $p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Poisson}(\lambda t) = y - x)$

Markov jump processes: examples

- Poisson process $\{N_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$: then Markov jump process with $p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Poisson}(\lambda t) = y - x)$
- Single-server queue, FIFO (“First-in-first-out”) discipline, arrival times: N Poisson (λ) , service times: i.i.d. $\text{Exp}(\mu)$ independent of N

X_t = number of customers present at time t : Markov jump process by Memoryless property of Exponential distribution + Markov property of Poisson process (the $M/M/1/\infty$ queue)

Markov jump processes: examples

- Poisson process $\{N_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$: then Markov jump process with $p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Poisson}(\lambda t) = y - x)$

- Single-server queue, FIFO (“First-in-first-out”) discipline, arrival times: N Poisson (λ), service times: i.i.d. $\text{Exp}(\mu)$ independent of N

X_t = number of customers present at time t : Markov jump process by Memoryless property of Exponential distribution + Markov property of Poisson process (the $M/M/1/\infty$ queue)

- Infinite server queue with Poisson arrivals and Exponential service times: customer arrived at T_n stays in system till $T_n + \sigma_n$, where σ_n : service time

X_t = number of customers present at time t : Markov jump process (the $M/M/\infty/\infty$ queue)

Structure of Markov jump processes

Infinitesimal Generator

$\forall x, y, y \neq x \in E$, limits $q_x := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - p_{xx}(t)}{t}$, $q_{xy} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{xy}(t)}{t}$
exist in \mathbb{R}_+ and satisfy $\sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} = q_x$

q_{xy} : **Jump rate** from x to y

$Q := \{q_{xy}\}_{x,y \in E}$ where $q_{xx} = -q_x$: **Infinitesimal Generator** of
process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

Formally: $Q = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [P(h) - I]$ where I : identity matrix

Structure of Markov jump processes

Infinitesimal Generator

$\forall x, y, y \neq x \in E$, limits $q_x := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - p_{xx}(t)}{t}$, $q_{xy} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{xy}(t)}{t}$ exist in \mathbb{R}_+ and satisfy $\sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} = q_x$

q_{xy} : **Jump rate** from x to y

$Q := \{q_{xy}\}_{x,y \in E}$ where $q_{xx} = -q_x$: **Infinitesimal Generator** of process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

Formally: $Q = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [P(h) - I]$ where I : identity matrix

Structure of Markov jump processes

Sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of visited states: Markov chain with transition matrix $p_{xy} = \mathbb{I}_{x \neq y} \frac{q_{xy}}{q_x}$

Conditionally on $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, sojourn times $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in successive states Y_n : independent, with distributions $\text{Exp}(q_{Y_n})$

- for Poisson process (λ): only non-zero jump rate
 $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda = q_x, x \in \mathbb{N}$

- for Poisson process (λ): only non-zero jump rate
 $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda = q_x, x \in \mathbb{N}$
- For FIFO $M/M/1/\infty$ queue, non-zero rates: $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda$,
 $q_{x,x-1} = \mu \mathbb{I}_{x>0}, x \in \mathbb{N}$ hence $q_x = \lambda + \mu \mathbb{I}_{x>0}$

- for Poisson process (λ): only non-zero jump rate
 $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda = q_x, x \in \mathbb{N}$
- For FIFO $M/M/1/\infty$ queue, non-zero rates: $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda$,
 $q_{x,x-1} = \mu \mathbb{I}_{x>0}, x \in \mathbb{N}$ hence $q_x = \lambda + \mu \mathbb{I}_{x>0}$
- For $M/M/\infty/\infty$ queue, non-zero rates: $q_{x,x+1} = \lambda$,
 $q_{x,x-1} = \mu x, x \in \mathbb{N}$ hence $q_x = \lambda + \mu x$

Structure of Markov jump processes (continued)

Let $T_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_k$: time of n -th jump.

If $T_\infty = +\infty$ almost surely: trajectory determined on \mathbb{R}_+ , hence generator Q determines law of process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

Structure of Markov jump processes (continued)

Let $T_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_k$: time of n -th jump.

If $T_\infty = +\infty$ almost surely: trajectory determined on \mathbb{R}_+ , hence generator Q determines law of process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

Process is called **explosive** if instead $T_\infty < +\infty$ with positive probability. Then process not completely characterized by generator

Structure of Markov jump processes (continued)

Let $T_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_k$: time of n -th jump.

If $T_\infty = +\infty$ almost surely: trajectory determined on \mathbb{R}_+ , hence generator Q determines law of process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$

Process is called **explosive** if instead $T_\infty < +\infty$ with positive probability. Then process not completely characterized by generator

Sufficient conditions for non-explosiveness:

- $\sup_{x \in E} q_x < +\infty$
- Recurrence of induced chain $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
- For **Birth and Death** processes (i.e. $E = \mathbb{N}$, only non-zero rates: $\beta_n = q_{n,n+1}$, birth rate; $\delta_n = q_{n,n-1}$, death rate), non-explosiveness holds if

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{\beta_n + \delta_n} = +\infty$$

Kolmogorov's forward and backward equations

Formal differentiation of $P(t+h) = P(t)P(h) = P(h)P(t)$ yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(t) = P(t)Q$$

Kolmogorov's forward equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}(t)q_{zy}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(t) = QP(t)$$

Kolmogorov's backward equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z \in E} q_{xz}p_{zy}(t)$$

Kolmogorov's forward and backward equations

Formal differentiation of $P(t+h) = P(t)P(h) = P(h)P(t)$ yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(t) = P(t)Q$$

Kolmogorov's forward equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}(t)q_{zy}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P(t) = QP(t)$$

Kolmogorov's backward equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z \in E} q_{xz}p_{zy}(t)$$

Follow directly from $Q = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}[P(h) - I]$ for finite E , in which case $P(t) = \exp(tQ)$, $t \geq 0$

Hold more generally—in particular for non-explosive processes—with a more involved proof (justifying exchange of summation and differentiation)

Definition

Measure $\{\pi_x\}_{x \in E}$ is **stationary** if it satisfies $\pi^T Q = 0$, or equivalently the **global balance equations**

$$\forall x \in E, \pi_x \sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} = \sum_{y \neq x} \pi_y q_{yx}$$

flow out of x flow into x

Definition

Measure $\{\pi_x\}_{x \in E}$ is **stationary** if it satisfies $\pi^T Q = 0$, or equivalently the **global balance equations**

$$\forall x \in E, \pi_x \sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} = \sum_{y \neq x} \pi_y q_{yx}$$

flow out of x flow into x

Kolmogorov's equations suggest that, if $X_0 \sim \pi$ for stationary π then $X_t \sim \pi$ for all $t \geq 0$,

Definition

Measure $\{\pi_x\}_{x \in E}$ is **stationary** if it satisfies $\pi^T Q = 0$, or equivalently the **global balance equations**

$$\forall x \in E, \pi_x \sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} \quad = \quad \sum_{y \neq x} \pi_y q_{yx}$$

flow out of x flow into x

Kolmogorov's equations suggest that, if $X_0 \sim \pi$ for stationary π then $X_t \sim \pi$ for all $t \geq 0$,

EXAMPLE: stationarity for birth and death processes

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 \beta_0 &= \pi_1 \delta_1, \\ \pi_x (\beta_x + \delta_x) &= \pi_{x-1} \beta_{x-1} + \pi_{x+1} \delta_{x+1}, \quad x \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition

- Process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is **irreducible** (respectively, **irreducible recurrent**) if induced chain $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is.

- State x is **positive recurrent** if $\mathbb{E}_x(R_x) < +\infty$, where

$$R_x = \inf\{t > \tau_0 : X_t = x\}.$$

- Measure π is **invariant** for process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ if for all $t > 0$, $\pi^T P(t) = \pi^T$, i.e.

$$\forall x \in E, \sum_{y \in E} \pi_y p_{yx}(t) = \pi_x.$$

Theorem

For irreducible recurrent $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, \exists invariant measure π , unique up to some scalar factor. It can be defined as, for any $x \in E$:

$$\forall y \in E, \pi_y = \mathbb{E}_x \int_0^{R_x} \mathbb{I}_{X_t=y} dt,$$

or alternatively with $T_x := \inf\{n > 0 : Y_n = x\}$,

$$\forall y \in E, \pi_y = \frac{1}{q_y} \mathbb{E}_x \sum_{n=1}^{T_x} \mathbb{I}_{Y_n=y}.$$

Theorem

For irreducible recurrent $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, \exists invariant measure π , unique up to some scalar factor. It can be defined as, for any $x \in E$:

$$\forall y \in E, \pi_y = \mathbb{E}_x \int_0^{R_x} \mathbb{I}_{X_t=y} dt,$$

or alternatively with $T_x := \inf\{n > 0 : Y_n = x\}$,

$$\forall y \in E, \pi_y = \frac{1}{q_y} \mathbb{E}_x \sum_{n=1}^{T_x} \mathbb{I}_{Y_n=y}.$$

COROLLARIES

- $\{\hat{\pi}_y\}$ invariant for $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Leftrightarrow \{\hat{\pi}_y/q_y\}$ invariant for $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$.
- For irreducible recurrent $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, either all or no state $x \in E$ is positive recurrent.

Theorem

$\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is **ergodic** (i.e. irreducible, positive recurrent) iff it is irreducible, non-explosive and such that $\exists \pi$ satisfying global balance equations.

Then π is also the unique invariant probability distribution.

Theorem

$\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is **ergodic** (i.e. irreducible, positive recurrent) iff it is irreducible, non-explosive and such that $\exists \pi$ satisfying global balance equations.

Then π is also the unique invariant probability distribution.

Theorem

For ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with stationary distribution π , any initial distribution for X_0 and π -integrable f ,

almost surely $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \sum_{x \in E} \pi_x f(x)$ (ergodic theorem)

and in distribution $X_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \pi$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem

For irreducible, non-ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, any initial distribution for X_0 , then for all $x \in E$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_t = x) = 0.$$

Time reversal and reversibility

For stationary ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with stationary distribution π ,
time-reversed process $\tilde{X}_t = X_{-t}$:

Markov with transition rates $\tilde{q}_{xy} = \frac{\pi_y q_{yx}}{\pi_x}$

Time reversal and reversibility

For stationary ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with stationary distribution π ,
time-reversed process $\tilde{X}_t = X_{-t}$:

Markov with transition rates $\tilde{q}_{xy} = \frac{\pi_y q_{yx}}{\pi_x}$

Definition

Stationary ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with stationary distribution π
reversible iff distributed as time-reversal $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, i.e.

$$\forall x \neq y \in E, \quad \pi_x q_{xy} = \pi_y q_{yx},$$

flow from x to y flow from y to x

detailed balance equations.

Time reversal and reversibility

For stationary ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with stationary distribution π ,
time-reversed process $\tilde{X}_t = X_{-t}$:

Markov with transition rates $\tilde{q}_{xy} = \frac{\pi_y q_{yx}}{\pi_x}$

Definition

Stationary ergodic $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with stationary distribution π
reversible iff distributed as time-reversal $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, i.e.

$$\forall x \neq y \in E, \quad \pi_x q_{xy} = \pi_y q_{yx},$$

flow from x to y flow from y to x

detailed balance equations.

Detailed balance, i.e. reversibility for π implies global balance for π .

EXAMPLE: for birth and death processes, detailed balance always holds for stationary measure.

Proposition

Let generator Q on E admit reversible measure π . Then for subset $F \subset E$, **truncated generator** \hat{Q} :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}_{xy} &= Q_{xy}, \quad x \neq y \in F, \\ \hat{Q}_{xx} &= -\sum_{y \neq x} \hat{Q}_{xy}, \quad x \in F\end{aligned}$$

admits $\{\pi_x\}_{x \in F}$ as reversible measure.

Erlang's model of telephone network

- Call types $s \in \mathcal{S}$: type- s calls arrive at instants of Poisson (λ_s) process, last (if accepted) for duration Exponential (μ_s)
- type- s calls require one circuit (unit of capacity) per link $l \in s$
- Link l has capacity C_l circuits

Erlang's model of telephone network

- Call types $s \in \mathcal{S}$: type- s calls arrive at instants of Poisson (λ_s) process, last (if accepted) for duration Exponential (μ_s)
- type- s calls require one circuit (unit of capacity) per link $l \in \mathcal{S}$
- Link l has capacity C_l circuits

Stationary probability distribution:

$$\pi_x = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{\rho_s^{x_s}}{x_s!} \prod_l \mathbb{I}_{\sum_{s \ni l} x_s \leq C_l},$$

where: $\rho_s = \lambda_s / \mu_s$, Z : normalizing constant.

Erlang's model of telephone network

- Call types $s \in \mathcal{S}$: type- s calls arrive at instants of Poisson (λ_s) process, last (if accepted) for duration Exponential (μ_s)
- type- s calls require one circuit (unit of capacity) per link $l \in s$
- Link l has capacity C_l circuits

Stationary probability distribution:

$$\pi_x = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{\rho_s^{x_s}}{x_s!} \prod_l \mathbb{I}_{\sum_{s \ni l} x_s \leq C_l},$$

where: $\rho_s = \lambda_s / \mu_s$, Z : normalizing constant.

Basis for dimensioning studies of telephone networks (prediction of call rejection probabilities)

More recent application: performance analysis of peer-to-peer systems for video streaming.

- Stations $i \in I$ receive external arrivals at Poisson rate $\bar{\lambda}_i$
- Station i when processing x_i customers completes service at rate $\mu_i \phi_i(x_i)$ (e.g.: $\phi_i(x) = \min(x_i, n_i)$: queue with n_i servers and service times Exponential (μ_i))
- After completing service at station i , customer joins station j with probability $p_{ij}, j \in I$, and leaves system with probability $1 - \sum_{j \in I} p_{ij}$
- Matrix $P = (p_{ij})$: sub-stochastic, such that $\exists (I - P)^{-1}$

- Stations $i \in I$ receive external arrivals at Poisson rate $\bar{\lambda}_i$
- Station i when processing x_i customers completes service at rate $\mu_i \phi_i(x_i)$ (e.g.: $\phi_i(x) = \min(x_i, n_i)$: queue with n_i servers and service times Exponential (μ_i))
- After completing service at station i , customer joins station j with probability $p_{ij}, j \in I$, and leaves system with probability $1 - \sum_{j \in I} p_{ij}$
- Matrix $P = (p_{ij})$: sub-stochastic, such that $\exists (I - P)^{-1}$

TRAFFIC EQUATIONS

$$\forall i \in I, \lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i + \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j p_{ji}$$

or $\lambda = (I - P^T)^{-1} \bar{\lambda}$

Jackson networks (continued)

Stationary measure:

$$\pi_x = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\rho_i^{x_i}}{\prod_{m=1}^{x_i} \phi_i(m)},$$

where $\rho_i = \lambda_i / \mu_i$, and λ_i : solutions of traffic equations

Jackson networks (continued)

Stationary measure:

$$\pi_x = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\rho_i^{x_i}}{\prod_{m=1}^{x_i} \phi_i(m)},$$

where $\rho_i = \lambda_i / \mu_i$, and λ_i : solutions of traffic equations

Application: process ergodic when π has finite mass. e.g. for $\phi_i(x) = \min(x, n_i)$, ergodicity iff $\forall i \in I, \rho_i < n_i$.

Jackson networks (continued)

Stationary measure:

$$\pi_x = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\rho_i^{x_i}}{\prod_{m=1}^{x_i} \phi_i(m)},$$

where $\rho_i = \lambda_i / \mu_i$, and λ_i : solutions of traffic equations

Application: process ergodic when π has finite mass. e.g. for $\phi_i(x) = \min(x, n_i)$, ergodicity iff $\forall i \in I, \rho_i < n_i$.

Proof: verify **partial balance** equations for all $x \in \mathbb{N}^I$:

$$\forall i \in I,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_x [\sum_{j \neq i} q_{x, x-e_i+e_j} + q_{x, x-e_i}] &= \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{x-e_i+e_j} q_{x-e_i+e_j, x} + \pi_{x-e_i} q_{x-e_i, x} \\ \pi_x \sum_{i \in I} q_{x, x+e_i} &= \sum_{i \in I} \pi_{x+e_i} q_{x+e_i, x}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply global balance equations

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_x [\sum_{i \in I} (q_{x, x-e_i} + q_{x, x+e_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} q_{x, x-e_i+e_j})] = \\ \sum_{i \in I} (\pi_{x-e_i} q_{x-e_i, x} + \pi_{x+e_i} q_{x+e_i, x} + \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{x-e_i+e_j} q_{x-e_i+e_j, x}) \end{aligned}$$

Takeaway messages

- Poisson process a fundamental continuous-time process, adequate model for aggregate of infrequent independent events
- Markov jump processes:
 - i) generator Q characterizes distribution if not explosive
 - ii) Balance equation characterizes invariant distribution if irreducible non-explosive
 - iii) Limit theorems: stationary distribution reflects long-term performance
- Exactly solvable models include reversible processes, plus several other important classes (e.g. Jackson networks)