

# Confluence, local confluence, critical pair lemma, orthogonal systems

Kim Quyen LY

26 June 2012

Supervisor: Frédéric BLANQUI

# Outline

- 1 Confluence and local confluence
- 2 Critical pair lemma
- 3 Confluence of orthogonal systems

# Term rewriting system (TRS)

- Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of variables,
- Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of function symbols disjoint from  $\mathcal{X}$ , each symbol  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  being equipped with a fixed arity  $ar(f) \geq 0$ ,
- Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of rewrite rules over the set  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$  of first-order terms.

## Definition

A TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$  is a set of pairs  $(l, r) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$  for which

- $l \notin \mathcal{V}$  and
- all variables of  $r$  occur in  $l$

Pairs  $(l, r)$  are called rewrite rules and are usually written as  $l \rightarrow r$

# Confluence and local confluence

## Definition

A TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  is called **confluent** if and only if for every two reduction sequences  $t_1 \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_2$  and there is a term  $u$  such that there are two reduction sequences  $t_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* u \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_2$ .

## Definition

A TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  is called **locally confluent** or weakly confluent if and only if for every two one-step reductions  $t_1 \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t_2$  there is a term  $u$  such that there are two reduction sequences  $t_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* u \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_2$ .

# Check confluence

- Difficult to check confluence “directly”:
  - ① must check for **infinitely** many start terms  $t$
  - ② must check for **arbitrarily** many steps from each  $t$  to  $t_1$  and  $t_2$
- Solutions:
  - ① Newman’s lemma: sufficient to check w.r.t terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  that can be reached in one step from start term  $t$ .
  - ② Critical pairs lemma: consider a **finite** set of start terms  $t$

# Relation between confluence and local confluence

Confluence implies local confluence, but not vice versa.

**Example**  $f(x, x) \rightarrow a$

$f(x, g(x)) \rightarrow b$

$c \rightarrow g(c)$

Term  $c$  has no normal form, but  $f(c, c)$  has two  $a$  and  $b$

However, confluence may be derived from local confluence if the TRS is also terminating, a result that is known as Newman's lemma.

# Newman's lemma

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a TRS,  $\mathcal{R}$  is called terminating or noetherian if there is no infinite sequence of terms  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  such that  $t_i \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$

## Lemma

If  $\mathcal{R}$  is terminating then it is **confluent** if and only if it is **locally confluent**.

**Remark:** Thus, if termination can be proved, local confluence suffices for proving confluence.

In practical critical pairs may help in determining whether a TRS is locally confluent.

# Unifier and most general unifier

A term  $t$  matches a term  $s$  if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $t\sigma = s$ .

## Definition

A **unifier** of two terms  $t$  and  $s$  is a substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $t\sigma = s\sigma$

- If  $\sigma$  is a unifier of  $t, s$  then each instance of  $\sigma$  is also a unifier for the terms.
- $\sigma$  is a **most general unifier** (mgu) for  $t, s$  if there is a  $\theta$  such that  $\rho = \theta \circ \sigma$  ( $\rho$  is an instance or extension of  $\sigma$ )



# Critical pair

**Problem:** If  $t_1 \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t_2$  does there exists a term  $u$  such that  $t_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* u \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t_2$ ?

**Answer:**

- If the two rewrite steps happen in different subtrees (disjoint redexes): YES
- If the two rewrite steps happen below each other (overlap at or below a variable position) : YES
- If the left-hand sides of the two rules overlap at a non-variable position: needed further investigation

## Critical pair(Cont.)

## Definition

- Let  $l_i \rightarrow r_i (i = 1, 2)$  be two rewrite rules in a TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  whose variables have been renamed such that:  $var(\{l_1, r_1\}) \cap var(\{l_2, r_2\}) = \emptyset$
- Let  $p \in Pos(l_1)$  be a position such that  $l_1|_p$  is not a variable and  $\sigma$  is an mgu of  $l_1|_p$  and  $l_2$ .
- Then  $r_1\sigma \leftarrow l_1\sigma \rightarrow (l_1\sigma)[r_2\sigma]_p, \langle r_1\sigma, (l_1\sigma[r_2\sigma]_p) \rangle$  is called a critical pair of  $\mathcal{R}$
- If  $l_1 \rightarrow r_1$  and  $l_2 \rightarrow r_2$  are different rewrite rules such that  $l_1\sigma = l_2\sigma$  for some substitution  $\sigma$ , then the critical pair  $\langle r_1\sigma, r_2\sigma \rangle$  is called an overlay.

# Critical pairs lemma

## Definition

A critical pair  $\langle s, t \rangle$  is called **joinable** if there exist a term  $u$  such that  $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* u \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t$

## Lemma

A TRS is **locally confluent** if and only if its critical pairs are joinable.

This proof can be easily be checked by going though all possible types of overlap.

**Remark:** The critical pair lemma states that a TRS is locally confluent iff it has no critical pairs.

# Orthogonal systems

A TRS for which all critical pairs are overlays is called an overlay system.

A term is linear if every variable occurs at most once in the term.

A TRS for which the left-hand side of every rule is a linear term, is called a left-linear TRS.

A TRS without critical pairs, is called a non-overlapping TRS.

A left-linear and non-overlapping TRS is called an **orthogonal** TRS.

# Confluence of orthogonal systems

## Theorem

Every orthogonal system is **confluent**.

**Remark:**  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \twoheadrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$  hence,  $\twoheadrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$

Parallel reduction relation is a sufficient condition for the confluent of TRS  $\mathcal{R}$ .

We need to consider parallel rewriting because if  $s \rightarrow t_1$  and  $s \rightarrow t_2$  at position that are not disjoint then a subterm of  $s$  may appear many times in  $t_1$  or  $t_2$  and all of these occurrences may have to be rewritten in parallel to obtain a  $u$  to which both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  rewrite in one (parallel) step.

# Top relation

## Definition

For a TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  the top relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^{\epsilon}$  on  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$  is defined by  $t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^{\epsilon} u$  iff there is an rewrite rule  $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$  and a substitution  $\sigma : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$  such that  $t = l\sigma$  and  $u = r\sigma$

# Parallel reduction relation

Parallel rewriting is rewriting at one or more disjoint redexes at the same time.

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a TRS, the parallel reduction relation included by  $\mathcal{R}$  is the smallest relation  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$  such that

- $t \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{C}$  then  $t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$
- $t_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u_1 \dots t_n \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u_n$  then  $ft_1 \dots t_n \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} fu_1 \dots u_n$
- $t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^{\epsilon} u$  then  $t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$

# Parallel reduction of a substitution

## Definition

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  be substitutions and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of variable symbols. We write:  $\sigma \rightarrow \theta$  if  $\sigma(x) \rightarrow \theta(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$

## Lemma

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  be substitutions and  $t$  be a term. If  $\sigma \rightarrow \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(t) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  then  $t\sigma \rightarrow t\theta$



**Thank you for your attention!!!**