

# Type-based Clock Calculi

Marc Pouzet

ENS Paris  
Marc.Pouzet@ens.fr

MPRI, October 2023

Different interpretations of the words “synchronous” and “clocks” exist.

# Kahn Process Networks

A set of processes running independently and synchronizing through FIFOs [5].

In this context, what does it mean for two streams to be “synchronous” or two processes to run “synchronously”?

- ▶ The **Synchronous** Data-flow Model (SDF) model of Messerschmitt & Lee [6] defines relative ratio between input reads and output writes of a process.
- ▶ E.g., “Every time  $f$  read 2 input on channel  $x$  and 3 inputs on channel  $y$ , it produces 5 outputs on channel  $z$ ”.
- ▶ Express constraints of relative input reads and write as **balanced equations**.
- ▶ A SDF network is correct (alive = deadlock free and bounded) when balanced equations have a solution.
- ▶ It ensures that a static schedule exists and a run with bounded buffer whose maximum size can be computed [7].
- ▶ Since [6], various SDF extensions have been considered.

# Periodically sampled systems

Consider that parallel processes run in **lock-step** — when one process make a step, the others makes a step.

There exist a global time scale shared by all processes, e.g. 1ms.

“synchrony” can be interpreted as:

*Two periodically sampled signals can be synchronized on the gcd of their period.*

E.g., if  $x$  is sampled every 10ms and  $y$  every 15ms, the signal  $z = x + y$  needs to be computed every 5ms.  $z$  is sampled every 5ms.

In both interpretations, “synchrony” is a matter of relative speed (or rate) of production or change of value.

# Synchronous Kahn Networks

**synchrony** of synchronous languages has a more general and powerful meaning.

That is, the two previous situations are particular cases.

*A clock is a set of totally ordered instants. There exist a global clock so that every signal is defined according to this clock.*

A Kahn network is synchronous if it can be executed without any buffering mechanism.

## Example: Synchronous Circuits

A synchronous circuit behave as if it all operator were running in lock-step, reading one input, producing one output.

In a synchronous circuit, it is possible to mimic the absence of a value by adding an **enable bit**: every wire  $s$  is paired with a boolean  $b$ , true when  $s$  is valid.

# What is a Clock

The clock of a signal defines the instants where the signal is defined. It is its **time domain** as opposed to the domain of values.

If  $D$  is a set of instants, equation  $z = x + y$  means:

$$\forall t \in D. z(t) = x(t) + y(t)$$

$D$  is equipped with a total order. If  $D$  is a set of instants, or clock, and  $D' \subseteq D$ ,  $D'$  is called a sub-clock of  $D$ .

Some operators can read or produce values at a subset of instants.

E.g., `x when c` returns a signal which is defined on a subclock  $D' \subset D$  if `x` and `c` have clock  $D$ . It produces a signal which is defined on time  $t \in D'$  if `x(t)` is defined, `c(t)` is defined and is true.

whereas `merge` takes two signals defined at complementary instants.

# What is a Clock

The **clock calculus** is a type system on these time domains. It associates a **clock type** to an expression  $e$  which indicates when  $e$  produces a value.

When the program type checks, it can be executed synchronously without any buffering.

- ▶ Clocks are useful to mix slow and fast processes;
- ▶ while ensuring the absence of buffering.

From the programming language point-of-view:

- ▶ Clocks help specifying and reasoning about reactive processes.
- ▶ Clocks are useful to generate good code.
- ▶ In a way similar to types in programming languages.
  - ▶ A strong constraint on the programmer but increases safety.
  - ▶ It helps understanding what the program is doing.

## Clocks, in practice

The problem is not “easy” in the general case. E.g.,:

$$(e_1 \text{ when } c_1) + (e_2 \text{ when } c_2)$$

is synchronous iff  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are equal. If the language contains boolean expressions, it is *NP*-complete. If it contains boolean expressions with registers, it is *PSPACE*-complete. If it contains unbounded arithmetics, it is undecidable. In practice:

- ▶ Give sufficient conditions to insure that a program can be executed synchronously.
- ▶ Clock equality: structural equality (**Lucid Sychrone**); boolean equivalence (**Signal**).
- ▶ Clock inference: **Signal**, **Lucid Sychrone**.
- ▶ Clock verification: **Lustre**.

### Remark:

This is a very general problem and tools like Simulink also provides a static checking of rates/clocks of block diagrams.



# A small stream language

$$f, e ::= e e \mid \text{let } x = e \text{ in } e \mid x \mid i \\ \mid e \text{ fby } e \mid \text{merge } e e e \\ \mid e \rightarrow e \mid e \text{ when } e \\ \mid \text{rec } x.e \mid \lambda x.e$$

- ▶ Streams and stream function.
- ▶ Regular typing is not addressed here, causality neither.
- ▶ Check only the operations are executed on their proper clock.

# Finite and Infinite Streams

Let  $T$  be a set of value.

- ▶ If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T^n$  is the set of sequences of length  $n$ .
- ▶ If  $x \in T^n$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $x(i)$  is the  $i$ -th element of  $T^n$ . It is not defined otherwise.
- ▶ If  $v \in T$  and  $s \in T^n$ ,  $v.s \in T^{n+1}$  is the stream with  $(v.s)(1) = v$  and  $(v.s)(i) = s(i-1)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ .
- ▶  $T^0$  contains the empty sequence noted  $\epsilon$ .
- ▶ The Kleene set  $T^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^n$  is the set of finite sequences.
- ▶  $T^\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n$  is the set of infinite sequences.
- ▶ The set of finite and infinite streams is:

$$T^\infty = T^* \cup T^\omega$$

## The Prefix Order

The binary relation  $\leq_p \subseteq T^\infty \times T^\infty$  is the smallest such that:

- ▶ For all  $s \in T^\infty$ ,  $\epsilon \leq_p s$ .
- ▶ For all  $s_1, s_2$ , if  $s_1 \leq_p s_2$  then for all  $v \in T$ ,  $v.s_1 \leq_p v.s_2$

## Clocked Streams

Let  $T_{abs} = T + \{abs\}$ , the set  $T$  complemented with a “absent” value.

### Clocks

Let  $x \in T_{abs}^\infty$ . The **clock**  $Clock(x) \in Bool^\infty$  of  $x$  is a boolean stream:

$$\begin{aligned} Clock(\epsilon) &= \epsilon \\ Clock(abs.s) &= false.Clock(s) \\ Clock(v.s) &= true.Clock(s) \end{aligned}$$

### Clocked Stream


The set of clocked streams whose clock is  $s$  is defined:

$$ClockedStream(T, c) = \{s \in T^\infty \mid Clock(s) \leq_p c\}$$

$s \in ClockedStream(T, C)$  means

$$\forall i \in Dom(s), (s(i) = abs) \Leftrightarrow (c(i) = false)$$

The set is prefix closed, i.e., if  $c$  is of length  $n$ , we allow

$ClockedStream(T, c)$  to contain all shorter streams. 

# Static Checking

Intuition: associate a type to every expression. For a stream expression  $e$ , this type is interpreted as a boolean expression  $s$  whose value is true when  $e$  produces a present value.

The clock type language:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma & ::= \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. cl \\ cl & ::= \forall x : cl.cl \mid cl \times cl \mid s \\ s & ::= s \text{ on } e \mid \alpha \\ H & ::= [x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n] \\ & \quad \text{where for all } i, j \text{ st } j \leq i, x_i \notin FV(cl_j) \\ \text{judgment} & ::= H \vdash e : cl \end{aligned}$$

Programs are considered modulo  $\alpha$ -conversion (renaming)

- ▶ A dependent type system.
- ▶  $\forall x : cl_1.cl_2$  is written  $cl_1 \rightarrow cl_2$  when  $x \notin FV(cl_2)$

# Initial Conditions

$$H_0 = \begin{array}{ll} \text{pre} & : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, \\ \text{->} & : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, \\ \text{when} & : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \forall x : \alpha. \alpha \text{ on } x \\ \text{merge} & : \forall \alpha. \forall x : \alpha. \alpha \text{ on } x \rightarrow \alpha \text{ on not } x \rightarrow \alpha \end{array}$$

## Instantiation, generalisation:

- ▶ Free clock variables:  $FV(cl)$ . Lifted to environments:  $FV(H)$ .
- ▶ Free expression variables:  $fv(cl)$ . Lifted to environments:  $fv(H)$ .

$$cl[s_1/\alpha_1, \dots, s_n/\alpha_n] \in \text{Instanciate}(\forall\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n.cl)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Generalize}(H, cl) &= \forall\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m.cl \\ &\text{where } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = FV(cl) \setminus FV(H) \end{aligned}$$

Polymorphism is limited: a clock variable can be instantiated by a clock type  $s$  which concerns signals only.

# The system

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(CONST)} \\ H \vdash i : s \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(VAR)} \\ \frac{cl \in \text{Instanciate}(\sigma)}{H, x : \sigma \vdash x : cl} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(OP)} \\ \frac{H \vdash e_1 : s \quad H \vdash e_2 : s}{H \vdash \text{op}(e_1, e_2) : s} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(ABST)} \\ \frac{H, x : cl \vdash e : cl' \quad x \notin \text{fv}(H)}{H \vdash \lambda x. e : \forall x : cl. cl'} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(APP)} \\ \frac{H \vdash f : \forall x : cl. cl' \quad H \vdash e : cl}{H \vdash f e : cl'[e/x]} \end{array}$$

(REC)

$$\frac{H, x : cl \vdash e : cl \quad x \notin fv(H)}{H \vdash \text{rec } x.e : cl}$$

(LET)

$$\frac{H \vdash e_1 : cl_1 \quad H, x : \text{Generalize}(H, cl_1) \vdash e_2 : cl_2}{H \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : cl_2}$$



# Pairs

(FST)

$$H \vdash \mathbf{fst} : \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2. \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1$$

(SND)

$$H \vdash \mathbf{snd} : \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2. \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2$$

(PRODUCT)

$$\frac{H \vdash e_1 : c_1 \quad H \vdash e_2 : c_2}{H \vdash (e_1, e_2) : c_1 \times c_2}$$

# Polymorphism

- ▶ Polymorphism is limited: `fst` takes two streams and returns a stream since  $\alpha$  denotes a variable which can only be instantiated by a clock expression of the form `s on e`.
- ▶ Pairs can be treated in a more general manner by extending the type language.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &::= \forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n. \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. cl \\ cl &::= \forall x : cl. cl \mid cl \times cl \mid s \mid \beta \\ s &::= s \text{ on } e \mid \alpha\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Then, `fst` and `snd` get clock signatures:

(FST)

$$H \vdash \text{fst} : \forall \beta_1, \beta_2. \beta_1 \times \beta_2 \rightarrow \beta_1$$

(SND)

$$H \vdash \text{snd} : \forall \beta_1, \beta_2. \beta_1 \times \beta_2 \rightarrow \beta_2$$

# Polymorphism

An alternative solution is to keep a simpler clock type language.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &::= \forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n. cl \\ cl &::= \forall x : cl.cl \mid cl \times cl \mid \beta \mid cl \text{ on } e\end{aligned}$$

Yet, the meaning of some combinations must be defined (and is, at least unclear). E.g.,

- ▶  $(cl_1 \times cl_2) \text{ on } e$ ;
- ▶  $(\forall x : cl_1.cl_2) \text{ on } e$ ;
- ▶ ...

These situations can be rejected by the regular type system or taken into account by merging the type system and the clock calculus.

## Extension: clock abstraction

How can we write a function (node) that returns a stream sampled on a condition  $c$  computed locally?

In Lustre, the condition must be returned as an output of the function.

```
node hide(x: int) returns (o: bool; (y:int) when o);  
  let o = x >= 0;  
      y = x when o;  
  tel;
```

This corresponds to an existential quantification:

$$\text{hide} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \Sigma(o : \alpha). \alpha \text{ on } o$$

(RETURN)

$$\frac{H \vdash e_1 : c_1 \quad H \vdash e : c_2[e_1/x]}{H \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \Sigma(x : c_1).c_2}$$

(FST)

$$\frac{H \vdash e : \Sigma(x : c_1).c_2}{H \vdash \text{fst } e : c_1}$$

(SND)

$$\frac{H \vdash e : \Sigma(x : c_1).c_2}{H \vdash \text{snd } e : c_2[\text{fst } e/x]}$$

# The Valued Signals of Esterel

The language Esterel provides **pure** and **valued signals**. A pure signal is nothing but a boolean. A valued signal carries both a value and a presence bit. Using clocks, it can be encoded by a dependent pair:

$$\alpha \text{ sig} = \Sigma(c : \alpha). \alpha \text{ on } c$$

made of:

- ▶ An **enable** bit  $c$ ;
- ▶ and a stream present when  $c$  is true.

Add two operations: one to **abstract** the **enable** bit; one to **open** it.

## Clock abstraction

The equation:

$$\text{emit } x = e$$

defines the valued signal  $x$  by abstracting the clock of  $e$ .

(EMIT)

$$\frac{H \vdash e : s \text{ on } c}{H \vdash \text{emit } x = e : [x : s \text{ sig}]}$$

## Accessing an abstract clock

let  $x$  on  $c = e_1$  in  $e_2$  access the signal  $e_1$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(LET-SIG)} \\ c \notin \text{fv}(H) \quad c \notin \text{fv}(cl) \\ \frac{H \vdash e_1 : s \text{ sig} \quad H, c : s, x : s \text{ on } c \vdash e_2 : cl}{H \vdash \text{let } x \text{ on } c = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : cl} \end{array}$$

The rule ensures that no hypothesis on  $c$  can be made and it must not escape from the block.

Historical note: The idea of “clocks as (dependent) types” was introduced in ICFP'96 (Caspi & Pouzet). It was implemented in Lucid Sychrone V1 (1996-1998).

# Oversampling

In **Lucid Synchronic**, Version 1.0 (1998), it was possible to write an oversampling function whose input clock could depend only its output, provided there was no instantaneous dependence.

E.g., take `f` and `terminated` two length preserving functions.

```
let node oversampling(x) = ok, o where
  rec cx = merge (true fby ok) x
              ((0 fby cx) when not (true fby ok))
  and o = f(cx)
  and ok = terminated(o)
```

```
val oversampling :: 'a on true fby ok -> (ok: 'a) * 'a
```

This program mimics an internal loop that reads an input from time to time but produce an output at every instant.



# Oversampling

The type  $\Pi x : c_1.c_2$  expresses that the clock of output depends on the value of the input.

The type  $\Sigma x : c_1.c_2$  expresses that the clock of the output depends on the value of the first component of the pair.

How to express the clock signature of a function where the clock of an input depends on previous values of itself?

Introduce a type which replaces  $\Pi x : c_1.c_2$  and  $\Sigma x : c_1.c_2$ .

## Causally correct clock signatures

The type of a function  $f$  with  $n$  inputs and  $m$  output can be given the signature:

$$(x_1 : cl_1) \times \dots \times (x_n : cl_n) \rightarrow (x_{n+1} : cl_{n+1}) \times \dots \times (x_{n+m} : cl_{n+m})$$

where  $x_i$  (for  $i \in [1..n]$ ) is quantified universally;  $(x_i)$  (for  $i \in [n+1..n+m]$ ) are quantified existentially.

The signature must be syntactically **causal**:

- ▶  $x_i$  can only appear in  $cl_j$  for  $j > i$ .
- ▶ unless it appears under a `pre` or `fby`.

that is, the clock of an input can depend on the previous value of an output.

## A funny example: sorting two input streams

An example due to Ben Lippmeier (Gost motion, <https://www.gh.st>).

Consider two sorted integer input streams `left` and `right`.

Define a node `sort` which, given `left` and `right` returns a sorted stream which merge the two input streams.

```
(* Lucid Synchronone V1.1 *)
let current c default x = where
  rec o = merge c x ((default fby o) when not c)

let sort(left, right) = (c, o) where
  rec mleft = current (true fby c) left 0
  and mright = current (true fby (not c)) right 0
  and c = mleft < mright
  and o = if c then mleft else mright
```

The Lucid Synchronone V1 compiler computes:

```
val current :: (c:'a) -> 'a -> 'a on c -> 'a
val sort :: ('a on true fby c) * ('a on true fby not c)
          -> (c : 'a) * 'a
```

# Properties of clock calculus

## Theorem (Correctness)

*Well clocked programs can be executed in a synchronous manner.*

The precise formulation and proof was obtained in a very elegant manner by Boulme and Hamon [Boulme & Hamon, LPAR'01] by making a **shallow embedding** in Coq.

- ▶ For well clocked programs, annotate constants with their clock, e.g.,:  $H \vdash 42 : b$  becomes  $42[b]$  where  $b$  will be a boolean stream.
- ▶ Annotated expressions can now be given a synchronous semantics, that is, operations are applied to clocked streams.
- ▶ The clock typing rules are a direct consequence of the clocked semantics.
- ▶ If expressions are represented as Coq terms, clock rules are enforced by the typing rules of Coq.

## Use of clocks

For code generation, clocks are used for control optimization. An expression with clock type  $s$  is only executed with  $s$  is true.

The explicit representation of the absent value can be removed.

Transform programs that manage streams into programs that manage streams with clocks annotations:

$$H \vdash e : cl \Rightarrow e'$$

expression  $e$  with clock  $cl$  is transformed into an expression  $e'$

# Annotating Expressions with their Clock

The basic language is extended with explicit annotations. **pres** is an **enable** bit. This bit is associated to every operation and register.

$$\begin{aligned} e \quad ::= & \quad i_{\text{pres}} \mid \text{op}_{\text{pres}}(e, e) \mid x \\ & \mid \text{pre}_{\text{pres}} e \mid e \rightarrow_{\text{pres}} e \\ & \mid \text{rec } x.e \\ & \mid (e, e) \\ & \mid \lambda\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n.e \\ & \mid \lambda x.e \mid e(e) \\ & \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e \\ & \mid \text{pres} \end{aligned}$$
$$\text{pres} \quad ::= \quad \text{pres on } e \mid \alpha \mid \text{true}$$

# Transformation

To produce a program where expressions are annotated with their clock.

$$\lambda x.(0 \text{ fby } x) + 2 : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

is translated into:

$$\lambda \alpha. \lambda x.(0_\alpha \text{ fby } x) + 2_\alpha)$$

- ▶ An abstraction at every generalization point.
- ▶ An application at every instantiation point.
- ▶ This mechanism is necessary because several clock variables can be present in a clock scheme.
- ▶ In practice, the clock is only useful for stateful operations (pre, -> and fby).

# The Program Transformation

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(CONST)} \\ \frac{H \vdash s \Rightarrow c_e}{H \vdash i : s \Rightarrow i[c_e]} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{(VAR)} \\ \frac{cl, (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \text{Instanciate}(\sigma)}{H, x : \sigma \vdash x : cl \Rightarrow x c_1 \dots c_n} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(OP)} \\ \frac{H \vdash s \Rightarrow c_e \quad H \vdash e_1 : s \Rightarrow c_1 \quad H \vdash e_2 : s \Rightarrow c_2}{H \vdash \text{op}(e_1, e_2) : s \Rightarrow \text{op}_{c_e}(c_1, c_2)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(ABST)} \\ \frac{H, x : cl \vdash e : cl' \Rightarrow c \quad x \notin \text{fv}(H)}{H \vdash \lambda x. e : \forall x : cl. cl' \Rightarrow \lambda x. c} \end{array}$$



(APP)

$$\frac{H \vdash f : \forall x : cl.cl' \Rightarrow f_c \quad H \vdash e : cl \Rightarrow e_c}{H \vdash fe : cl'[e/x] \Rightarrow f_c e_c}$$

(REC)

$$\frac{H, x : cl \vdash e : cl \Rightarrow c \quad x \notin fv(H)}{H \vdash \text{rec } x.e : cl \Rightarrow \text{rec } x.c}$$

## Instanciation, Generalization:

$$cl[s_1/\alpha_1, \dots, s_n/\alpha_n], (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \text{Instanciate}(\forall\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n.cl)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Generalize}(H, cl) &= \forall\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m.cl, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &\text{where } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = FV(cl) \setminus FV(H) \end{aligned}$$

(LET)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \sigma, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \text{Generalize}(H, cl_1) \\ H \vdash e_1 : cl_1 \Rightarrow c_1 \quad H, x : \sigma \vdash e_2 : cl_2 \Rightarrow c_2 \end{array}}{H \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : cl_2 \Rightarrow \text{let } x = \lambda\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n.c_1 \text{ in } c_2}$$

## What is the operator On?

If  $s$  is a clock expression and  $e$  is a boolean expression,  $s \text{ on } e$  is called a **sub-clock** of  $s$ .

$s \text{ on } e$  is true whenever  $e$  is present and true.  $e$  must be on clock  $s$ . Thus, if  $s \text{ on } e$  is true, then is  $s$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(ON)} \\ \frac{H \vdash s \Rightarrow c_s \quad H \vdash e : s \Rightarrow c_e}{H \vdash s \text{ on } e \Rightarrow c_s \text{ on } c_e} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(CLOCK-VAR)} \\ H \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \end{array}$$

## Algorithm and implementation choices

The very first description of this clock type system was presented at ICFP'96 [2].

- ▶ Clock type inference based on the algorithm  $W$  of ML.
- ▶ First order unification between clock, structural.
  - ▶  $cl_1$  on  $e_1 \equiv cl_2$  on  $e_2$  if  $cl_1 \equiv cl_2$
  - ▶  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  syntactically equal. The following is rejected:

```
let f x =  
  let z = x = 0 in  
  (1 when z) + (2 when (x = 0))
```

- ▶ Dependences for functions ( $\forall x : cl_1.cl_2$ ) must be in prenex form. Only the first signature is possible:

```
let f x g = (g x) + (1 when x)
```

*f : (x:a) -> (a -> a on x) -> a on x*

*f : (x:a) -> ((y:a) -> a on y) -> a on x*

# Comparison with the Lustre Clock Calculus

The system was implemented in **Lucid Sychrone** Version 1 (1996). It was kept upto Version 2 (2002).

- ▶ The ReLuC compiler of SCADE/Lustre (Esterel-Technologies) implemented a clock calculus close to the presented one.
- ▶ Clock verification instead of inference.
- ▶ A restriction in the clock type language. Clock scheme of the form  $\forall \alpha. c/$  with a single clock variable.
- ▶ This is the base clock of the node.

let  $f(x, y) = (x+1, y+2)$

$f : ('a * 'b) \rightarrow ('a * 'b) \leftarrow$  in **Lucid Sychrone**

$f : ('a * 'a) \rightarrow ('a * 'a) \leftarrow$  in **Lustre**

- ▶ no oversampling in **Lustre**

```
let rec half = true -> not (pre half)
let stuttering x = o where
    rec o = merge half x ((0 -> pre o) when not half)
f :: 'a on half -> 'a
```

- ▶ no polymorphic constant (they are all on the base clock of the node). The following program is rejected:

```
let rec half = true -> pre (not (half))
let f x = x when half when half
f : 'a -> 'a on half on half
```

## Clock polymorphism (constants)

- ▶ Un stream defined at **top level** can be seen as a constant process (with no input).

```
let rec half = true -> pre (not half)
```

is a short-cut for (*i.e.*, *it is compiled into*):

```
let process_half () = half where  
    rec half = true -> pre (not half) in half
```

- ▶ every instance of half has its own clock, thus:

```
let f x = x when half when half
```

is a short-cut for:

```
let f x =  
    (x when process_half())  
    when (process_half() when process_half())
```

# Conclusion

- ▶ A dependent-type system. In practice, restrict boolean expressions that appear in clock types (in  $s$  on  $e$ ).
- ▶ The first version of the ReLuC compiler (at Esterel-Technologies) was based on this type system.
- ▶ It is possible to do a shallow embedding in Coq [Boulme & Hamon, LPAR'01]
- ▶ In 2003, we found a way to get something even simpler with a clock calculus that is almost the ML type system [Colaco and Pouzet, EMSOFT'03].
- ▶ This system was the basis of the clock calculus of Scade 6.
- ▶ This simpler system was reused and extended in two directions: the modeling and checking of **periodic clocks** [Julien Forget's PhD. thesis], the theory of  **$N$ -synchrony** [Florence Plateau's PhD. thesis, POPL'06 [3], etc.]





Sylvain Boulmé and Grégoire Hamon.

Certifying Synchrony for Free.

In *International Conference on Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence and Reasoning (LPAR)*, volume 2250, La Havana, Cuba, December 2001. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Springer-Verlag.

Short version of *A clocked denotational semantics for Lucid-Synchrone in Coq*, available as a Technical Report (LIP6), at [www.di.ens.fr/~pouzet/bib/bib.html](http://www.di.ens.fr/~pouzet/bib/bib.html).



Paul Caspi and Marc Pouzet.

Synchronous Kahn Networks.

In *ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP)*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, May 1996.



Albert Cohen, Marc Duranton, Christine Eisenbeis, Claire Pagetti, Florence Plateau, and Marc Pouzet.

*N*-Synchronous Kahn Networks: a Relaxed Model of Synchrony for Real-Time Systems.

In *ACM International Conference on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '06)*, Charleston, South Carolina, USA, January 2006.



Jean-Louis Colaço and Marc Pouzet.

Clocks as First Class Abstract Types.

In *Third International Conference on Embedded Software (EMSOFT'03)*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, october 2003.



Gilles Kahn.

The semantics of a simple language for parallel programming.

In *IFIP 74 Congress*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.



E. A. Lee and D. G. Messerschmitt.

Static scheduling of synchronous data flow programs for digital signal processing.

*IEEE Trans. on Computers*, 36(2), 1987.



Thomas M Park.

*Bounded Scheduling of Process Networks*.

PhD thesis, University of California at Berkeley, 1995.