## Euclidean cameras and strong (Euclidean) calibration

- Linear least-squares methods
- Linear calibration
- Degenerate point configurations
- Analytical photogrammetry
- A quick detour through stereopsis

Planches:

- http://www.di.ens.fr/~ponce/geomvis/lect2.pptx
- http://www.di.ens.fr/~ponce/geomvis/lect2.pdf


## The Intrinsic Parameters of a Camera



Calibration Matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{p}=\mathcal{K} \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \boldsymbol{p}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{K} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & -\alpha \cot \theta & u_{0} \\
0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Perspective Projection Equation

$$
\boldsymbol{p}=\frac{1}{z} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{P}, \quad \text { where } \quad \mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## The Extrinsic Parameters of a Camera

- When the camera frame $(C)$ is different from the world frame $(W)$,

$$
\binom{{ }^{C} P}{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }_{W}^{W} \mathcal{R} & { }^{C} O_{W} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{{ }^{W} P}{1}
$$

- Thus,

$$
p \approx M P
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{p}=\frac{1}{z} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{P}, \\
& \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{D}
\end{aligned} \text { where }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R} \quad \boldsymbol{t}), \\
\mathcal{R}={ }_{W}^{C} \mathcal{R}, \\
\boldsymbol{t}={ }^{C} O_{W}, \\
\boldsymbol{P}=\binom{W_{P}}{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Note: $z$ is not independent of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{m}_{1}^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{2}^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{3}^{T}
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow z=\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}, \quad \text { or } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u=\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}, \\
v=\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Explicit Form of the Projection Matrix

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha \boldsymbol{r}_{1}^{T}-\alpha \cot \theta \boldsymbol{r}_{2}^{T}+u_{0} \boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & \alpha t_{x}-\alpha \cot \theta t_{y}+u_{0} t_{z} \\
\frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \boldsymbol{r}_{2}^{T}+v_{0} \boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_{y}+v_{0} t_{z} \\
\boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & t_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note:
If $\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A} & \boldsymbol{b}\end{array}\right)$ then $\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{3}\right|=1$.
Replacing $\mathcal{M}$ by $\lambda \mathcal{M}$ in

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}} \\
v & =\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

does not change $u$ and $v$.
$M$ is only defined up to scale in this setting!!

## Linear Camera Calibration

Given $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ with known positions and their images $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$

$$
\binom{u_{i}}{v_{i}}=\binom{\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}}{\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}} \Longleftrightarrow\binom{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-u_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{3}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}-v_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{3}} \boldsymbol{P}_{i}=0
$$

$$
\mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{m}=0 \text { with } \mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & -v_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & -v_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \boldsymbol{m} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{3}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

How do you solve overconstrained homogeneous linear equations ??

$$
E=|\mathcal{U} \boldsymbol{x}|^{2}=\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\left(\mathcal{U}^{T} \mathcal{U}\right) \boldsymbol{x}
$$

- Orthonormal basis of eigenvectors: $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{q}$.
- Associated eigenvalues: $0 \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{q}$.
- Any vector can be written as

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\mu_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\ldots+\mu_{q} \boldsymbol{e}_{q}
$$

for some $\mu_{i}(i=1, \ldots, q)$ such that $\mu_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\mu_{q}^{2}=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\boldsymbol{x})-E\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{l}\right) & =\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\left(U^{T} U\right) \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{e}_{I}^{T}\left(U^{T} U\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{I} \\
& =\lambda_{I} \mu_{I}^{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{q} \mu_{q}^{2}-\lambda_{I} \\
& \geqslant \lambda_{I}\left(\mu_{I}^{2}+\ldots+\mu_{q}^{2}-1\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { The solution is } \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \text {. }
$$

## Example: Line Fitting



Problem: minimize

$$
E(a, b, d)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a x_{i}+b y_{i}-d\right)^{2}
$$

with respect to $(a, b, d)$.

- Minimize E with respect to d:

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial d}=0 \Longrightarrow d=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a x_{i}+b y_{i}}{n}=a \bar{x}+b \bar{y}
$$

- Minimize E with respect to $a, b$ :

$$
E=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[a\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)+b\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)\right]^{2}=|\mathcal{U} \boldsymbol{n}|^{2} \quad \text { where } \boldsymbol{U}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}-\bar{x} & y_{1}-\bar{y} \\
\cdots & \ldots \\
x_{n}-\bar{x} & y_{n}-\bar{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Done !!

Note:

$$
\mathcal{U}^{T} \mathcal{U}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-n \bar{x}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-n \bar{x} \bar{y} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-n \bar{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Matrix of second moments of inertia
- Axis of least inertia


## Linear Camera Calibration

Given $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ with known positions and their images $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$

$$
\left.\sum_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}}\right)=\binom{\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}}{\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}} \Longleftrightarrow\binom{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}-u_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{3}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}-v_{i} \boldsymbol{m}_{3}} \boldsymbol{P}_{i}=0
$$

## Linear least squares for $n>5$ !



$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & -v_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & -v_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \boldsymbol{m} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{m}_{3}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

Once $M$ is known, you still got to recover the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters !!!

This is a decomposition problem, not an estimation problem.

$$
\rho \mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha \boldsymbol{r}_{1}^{T}-\alpha \cot \theta \boldsymbol{r}_{2}^{T}+u_{0} \boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & \alpha t_{x}-\alpha \cot \theta t_{y}+u_{0} t_{z} \\
\frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \boldsymbol{r}_{2}^{T}+v_{0} \boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_{y}+v_{0} t_{z} \\
\boldsymbol{r}_{3}^{T} & t_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Intrinsic parameters
- Extrinsic parameters


## Degenerate Point Configurations

Are there other solutions besides $M$ ??

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{0}=\mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{l}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & -v_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -u_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & -v_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\
\boldsymbol{\mu} \\
\boldsymbol{\nu}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}-u_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu} \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu}-v_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu} \\
\ldots \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}-u_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu} \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu}-v_{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left\{\begin{array} { c } 
{ \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { \lambda } - \frac { \boldsymbol { m } _ { 1 } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } } { \boldsymbol { m } _ { 3 } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } ^ { T } } \boldsymbol { \nu } = 0 } \\
{ \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { \mu } - \frac { \boldsymbol { m } _ { 2 } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } } { \boldsymbol { m } _ { 3 } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } } \boldsymbol { P } _ { i } ^ { T } \boldsymbol { \nu } = 0 }
\end{array} \longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{3}^{T}-\boldsymbol{m}_{1} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{i}=0 \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{m}_{3}^{T}-\boldsymbol{m}_{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{i}=0
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Coplanar points: $(\lambda, \mu, v)=(\Pi, 0,0)$ or $(0, \Pi, 0)$ or $(0,0, \Pi)$
- Points lying on the intersection curve of two quadric surfaces = straight line + twisted cubic

Does not happen for 6 or more random points!

## Analytical Photogrammetry

Given $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ with known positions and their images $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$

Find $\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(u_{i}-\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{1}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{i}-\frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{2}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{3}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \text { is minimized }
$$

Non-Linear Least-Squares Methods

- Newton
- Gauss-Newton
- Levenberg-Marquardt

Iterative, quadratically convergent in favorable situations

## Triangulation



Figure extraite de "US Navy Manual of Basic Optics and Optical Instruments", Bureau of Naval Personnel. Reprinted by Dover Publications, Inc., 1969.

## Triangulation



Figure extraite de "US Navy Manual of Basic Optics and Optical Instruments", Bureau of Naval Personnel. Reprinted by Dover Publications, Inc., 1969.

## Why movies look "flat" on TV



Figure extraite de "US Navy Manual of Basic Optics and Optical Instruments", Bureau of Naval Personnel. Reprinted by Dover Publications, Inc., 1969.

## Why movies look "flat" on TV



Figure extraite de "US Navy Manual of Basic Optics and Optical Instruments", Bureau of Naval Personnel. Reprinted by Dover Publications, Inc., 1969.

## Reconstruction from Rectified Images



Disparity: $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{u}^{\prime}-\mathrm{u}$.
Depth: $z=-B / d$.

## Triangulation for human eyes



In 3D, the horopter.

## Triangulation for "uncalibrated" human eyes

## What if $F$ is not known?

Helmholtz (1909):

- There is evidence showing that the vergence angles cannot be measured precisely.
- People get fooled by bas-relief sculptures.
- There is an analytical explanation for this.
- Relative depth can be judged accurately.


## Movies look "flat" on TV



Figure extraite de "US Navy Manual of Basic Optics and Optical Instruments", Bureau of Naval Personnel. Reprinted by Dover Publications, Inc., 1969.

## This is why people make 3D movies



## But do we really need two eyes to

 "see in 3D" ?

Jan J. Koenderink Univ. de Delft, NL

## But do we really need two eyes to

 "see in 3D" ?

Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

Comment "sonder" notre perception de l'orientation d'une surface.


Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

How to "probe" our perception of surface orientation


Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

How to "probe" our perception of surface orientation


Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

## How to "probe" our perception of surface orientation



Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

## Zeiss's synopter (1907)



Figure from "Pictorial Relief", J.J. Koenderink, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1998) 356, 1071-1086.
@ 1998 The Royal Society.

## Affine cameras

- Affine cameras
- Elements of affine geometry
- Affine structure from motion
- Two-view affine geometry
- Affine SFM revisited


## Affine Cameras

Weak-Perspective Projection


Paraperspective Projection


## More Affine Cameras

Orthographic Projection


Parallel Projection


## Weak-Perspective Projection Model

## $\boldsymbol{p}=\frac{1}{z_{\mathrm{r}}} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{P}$ <br> ( $p$ and $P$ are in homogeneous coordinates)

$p=M P$
( $P$ is in homogeneous coordinates)
$p=A \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{b} \quad$ (neither $p$ nor $\boldsymbol{P}$ is in hom. coordinates)

Definition: $A 2 \times 4$ matrix $M=[A b]$, where $A$ is a rank- $22 \times 3$ matrix, is called an affine projection matrix.

Theorem: All affine projection models can be represented by affine projection matrices.

General form of the weak-perspective projection equation:

$$
\mathbf{M}=\frac{1}{z_{r}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k & s  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{2} & \mathbf{t}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Theorem: An affine projection matrix can be written uniquely (up to a sign amibguity) as a weak perspective projection matrix as defined by (1).

## Affine cameras and affine geometry



Affine projections induce affine transformations from planes onto their images.


## Affine Structure from Motion



Reprinted with permission from "Affine Structure from Motion," by J.J. (Koenderink and A.J.Van Doorn, Journal of the Optical Society of America A, 8:377-385 (1990). © 1990 Optical Society of America.

Given $m$ pictures of $n$ points, can we recover

- the three-dimensional configuration of these points? (structure)
- the camera configurations?

The Affine Structure-from-Motion Problem
Given $m$ images of $n$ fixed points $P_{j}$ we can write

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{i j}=\mathcal{M}_{i}\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}}{1}=\mathcal{A}_{i} \boldsymbol{P}_{j}+\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m \text { and } j=1, \ldots, n \text {. }
$$

Problem: estimate the $m 2 \times 4$ matrices $M_{i}$ and the $n$ positions $P_{j}$ from the $m n$ correspondences $p_{i j}$.
$2 m n$ equations in $8 m+3 n$ unknowns

Overconstrained problem, that can be solved using (non-linear) least squares!

The Affine Ambiguity of Affine SFM
When the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters are unknown If $M_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{j}}$ are solutions,

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{i j}=\mathcal{M}_{i}\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}}{1}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{i} \mathcal{Q}\right)\left(\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}}{1}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}^{\prime}}{1}
$$

So are $M_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}$ and $P_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}$ where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{M}_{i} \mathcal{Q} \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}^{\prime}}{1}=\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\binom{\boldsymbol{P}_{j}}{1}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{C} & \boldsymbol{d} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { with } \quad \mathcal{Q}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{C}^{-1} & -\mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{d} \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& Q \text { is an affine } \\
& \text { transformation. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine cameras and affine geometry



## Affine Spaces: (Semi-Formal) Definition

$X$ set of points
$\vec{X}$ underlying vector space
$\phi \quad$ action of the additive group of $\vec{X}$ on $X$
$\phi$ maps elements $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $\vec{X}$ onto bijections $\phi \boldsymbol{u}: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall P \in X \quad \phi_{\mathbf{0}}(P)=P \\
& \forall P \in X \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in X \quad \phi \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}(P)=\phi \boldsymbol{u}(\phi \boldsymbol{v}(P)) \\
& \forall P, Q \in X \quad \exists \exists \boldsymbol{u} \in \vec{X} \quad \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P)=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P+\boldsymbol{u} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P) \\
& \overrightarrow{P Q} \equiv Q-P \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \boldsymbol{u} \quad \text { such that } \quad \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P)=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: $R^{2}$ as an Affine Space



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P+\boldsymbol{u} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P) \\
& \overrightarrow{P Q} \equiv Q-P \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \boldsymbol{u} \text { such that } \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P)=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

## In General

The notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P+\boldsymbol{u} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P) \\
& \overrightarrow{P Q} \equiv Q-P \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \boldsymbol{u} \quad \text { such that } \quad \phi \boldsymbol{u}(P)=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

is justified by the fact that choosing some origin $Q$ in $X$ allows us to identify the point $P$ with the vector $\overrightarrow{O P}$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ Q = P + \vec { P Q } , } \\
{ Q - P = \vec { P Q } , }
\end{array} \Longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{O Q}=\overrightarrow{O P}+\overrightarrow{P Q}, \\
\overrightarrow{O Q}-\overrightarrow{O P}=\overrightarrow{P Q} .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

NOTE: $P+\boldsymbol{u}$ and $Q-P$ are defined independently of $O!!$

## Barycentric Combinations

- Can we add points? $R=R+Q \quad$ NO!
- But, when $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m}=1$ we can define

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_{i} A_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} A_{j}+\sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^{m} \alpha_{i}\left(A_{i}-A_{j}\right)
$$

- Note:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_{i} \overrightarrow{O A}_{i}=\overrightarrow{O A}_{j}+\sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^{m} \alpha_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{O A}_{i}-\overrightarrow{O A}_{j}\right)
$$

## Affine Subspaces



$$
O+U \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{O+\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u} \in U\}
$$

$$
S\left(A_{0}, A_{1} \ldots, A_{m}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_{i} A_{i}, \alpha_{0}+\ldots+\alpha_{m}=1\right\}
$$

## Affine Coordinates

- Coordinate system for $U$ :

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{m}\right)
$$

- Coordinate system for $Y=\mathrm{A}_{0}+U:\left(A_{0}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{m}\right)$
- Affine coordinates:

$$
P=A_{0}+\alpha_{1} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} \boldsymbol{u}_{m}
$$

- Coordinate system for $Y$ :
- Barycentric coordinates:

$$
P=\alpha_{0} A_{0}+\alpha_{1} A_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} A_{m}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\alpha_{0} A_{0}+\alpha_{1} A_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} A_{m} \\
& =A_{0}+\alpha_{1}\left(A_{1}-A_{0}\right)+\ldots+\alpha_{m}\left(A_{m}-A_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine Transformations

Bijections from $X$ to $Y$ that:

- map $m$-dimensional subspaces of $X$ onto $m$-dimensional subspaces of $Y$;
- map parallel subspaces onto parallel subspaces; and
- preserve affine (or barycentric) coordinates.


In $E^{3}$ they are combinations of rigid transformations, non-uniform scalings and shears.

## Affine Transformations

Bijections from $X$ to $Y$ that:

- map lines of $X$ onto lines of $Y$; and
- preserve the ratios of signed lengths of line segments.


In $E^{3}$ they are combinations of rigid transformations, non-uniform scalings and shears.

## Affine Transformations II

- Given two affine spaces $X$ and $Y$ of dimension $m$, and two coordinate frames ( $A$ ) and ( $B$ ) for these spaces, there exists a unique affine transformation mapping (A) onto (B).
- Given an affine transformation from $X$ to $Y$, one can always write:

$$
\psi(P)=\psi(O)+\vec{\psi}(P-O)
$$

- When coordinate frames have been chosen for $X$ and $Y$, this translates into:

$$
\psi(\boldsymbol{P})=\boldsymbol{d}+\mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{P}=\mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{d}
$$

Affine projections induce affine transformations from planes onto their images.


## Affine Shape

Two point sets $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ in some affine space $X$ are affinely equivalent when there exists an affine transformation $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ such that $X^{\prime}=\psi(X)$.

Affine structure from motion = affine shape recovery.
= recovery of the corresponding motion equivalence classes.

## Affine Structure from Motion



Reprinted with permission from "Affine Structure from Motion," by J.J. (Koenderink and A.J.Van Doorn, Journal of the Optical Society of America A, 8:377-385 (1990). © 1990 Optical Society of America.

Given $m$ pictures of $n$ points, can we recover

- the three-dimensional configuration of these points? (structure)
- the camera configurations?

Geometric affine scene reconstruction from two images (Koenderink and Van Doorn, 1991).

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{q^{\prime}}=\alpha_{Q} \\
\beta_{q^{\prime}}=\beta_{Q}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\lambda=\frac{\overline{q^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime \prime}}}{\overline{e^{\prime \prime} d^{\prime \prime}}}=\frac{\overline{Q P}}{\overline{E D}}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{A P} & =\overrightarrow{A Q}+\overrightarrow{Q P} \\
& =\alpha_{p^{\prime}} \overrightarrow{A B}+\beta_{p^{\prime}} \overrightarrow{A C}+\lambda \overrightarrow{E D} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{p^{\prime}}-\lambda \alpha_{d^{\prime}}\right) \overrightarrow{A B}+\left(\beta_{p^{\prime}}-\lambda \beta_{d^{\prime}}\right) \overrightarrow{A C}+\lambda \overrightarrow{A D}
\end{aligned}
$$

