How user throughput depends on the traffic demand in large cellular networks

B. Błaszczyszyn Inria/ENS

based on a joint work with M. Jovanovic and M. K. Karray (Orange Labs, Paris)

1st Symposium on Spatial Networks Oxford, 7-8 September, 2016

Real data (cellular network in a big city)



Cellular network deployed in a big city. 9288 measurements made by different base stations over 24 hours of some day.

Real data (cellular network in a big city)



Cellular network deployed in a big city. 9288 measurements made by different base stations over 24 hours of some day.

A key QoS metric

 Mean user throughput: average "speed" [bits/second] of data transfer during a typical data connection.
 More formally, the ratio of the average number of bits sent (or received) per data request to the average duration of the data transfer.

A key QoS metric

- Mean user throughput: average "speed" [bits/second] of data transfer during a typical data connection.
 More formally, the ratio of the average number of bits sent (or received) per data request to the average duration of the data transfer.
- User-centric QoS metric.

A key QoS metric

- Mean user throughput: average "speed" [bits/second] of data transfer during a typical data connection.
 More formally, the ratio of the average number of bits sent (or received) per data request to the average duration of the data transfer.
- User-centric QoS metric.
- Network heterogeneous in space and time. Appropriate temporal and spatial averaging required.

Various levels of averaging

- Information theory (over bits processed in time)
- Queueing theory (over users/calls served in time)
- Stochastic geometry (over geometric patterns of cells and users)

We are interested in the radio part of the problem.

OUTLINE of the talk

- Queuing theory for one cell
- Information theory for the link quality
- Stochastic geometry for a large multi-cell network
- Numerical results for some spatially homogeneous networks
- Extensions to heterogeneous networks
 - Structural heterogeneity (micro-macro cells)
 - Spatial heterogeneous (non-homogeneity of point process)
- Conclusions

Queuing theory for one cell

Little's law

Consider a service system in its steady state. (Here one network cell during a given hour). Denote

- N mean (stationary, time average) number of users (calls) served at a given time
- λ average number of call arrivals per unit of time [second]
- T average (Palm) call duration

Little's law

Consider a service system in its steady state. (Here one network cell during a given hour). Denote

- N mean (stationary, time average) number of users (calls) served at a given time
- λ average number of call arrivals per unit of time [second]
- T average (Palm) call duration

The Little's law:

$$N = \lambda T$$

Little's law

Consider a service system in its steady state. (Here one network cell during a given hour). Denote

N — mean (stationary, time average) number of users (calls) served at a given time

 λ — average number of call arrivals per unit of time [second]

T — average (Palm) call duration The Little's law:

$$N = \lambda T$$

Applies in to a very general system of service, production, communication... No probabilistic assumptions regarding the distribution of the arrivals, service times. Not related to a particular service policy. Just stationarity!

Mean user throughput via Little's law

Denote:

 $\frac{1}{\mu}$ — average data volume [bits] transmitted during one call

 $\rho := \frac{1}{\mu} \times \lambda$ mean traffic demand [bits/second]

 $r := \frac{1}{\mu}/T$ mean user throughput [bits/second]

Mean user throughput via Little's law

Denote:

 $\frac{1}{\mu}$ — average data volume [bits] transmitted during one call

 $\rho := \frac{1}{\mu} \times \lambda$ mean traffic demand [bits/second]

 $r := \frac{1}{\mu}/T$ mean user throughput [bits/second] From Little's law

$$N = \lambda T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{T} = \frac{\lambda}{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\mu T} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu N}$$
$$r = \frac{\rho}{N} = \frac{\text{mean traffic demand}}{\text{average number of users served at a given time}}$$

Mean user throughput via Little's law

Denote:

 $\frac{1}{\mu}$ — average data volume [bits] transmitted during one call

 $\rho := \frac{1}{\mu} \times \lambda$ mean traffic demand [bits/second]

 $r := \frac{1}{\mu}/T$ mean user throughput [bits/second] From Little's law

$$N = \lambda T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{T} = \frac{\lambda}{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\mu T} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu N}$$
 $r = \frac{\rho}{N} = \frac{P}{P}$ mean traffic demand average number of users served at a given time

But N depends on ρ . What is the relation between N and ρ ?

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests.

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests. Denote: R — total service (transmission) rate [bits/second]. PS: server resources shared equally among all calls; when n user served simultaneously, one user gets the rate R/n.

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests. Denote: R — total service (transmission) rate [bits/second]. PS: server resources shared equally among all calls; when n user served simultaneously, one user gets the rate R/n. Recall: ρ — traffic demand [bits/second]

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests. Denote: R — total service (transmission) rate [bits/second]. PS: server resources shared equally among all calls; when n user served simultaneously, one user gets the rate R/n. Recall: ρ — traffic demand [bits/second]

 $\theta := \frac{\rho}{R}$ — system load

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests. Denote: R — total service (transmission) rate [bits/second]. PS: server resources shared equally among all calls; when n user served simultaneously, one user gets the rate R/n. Recall: ρ — traffic demand [bits/second]

$$\theta := \frac{\rho}{R}$$
 — system load

If $\theta < 1$ the system stable, otherwise unstable.

Poisson process of call arrivals, iid data volume requests.

Denote: R — total service (transmission) rate [bits/second].

PS: server resources shared equally among all calls; when n user served simultaneously, one user gets the rate R/n.

Recall: ρ — traffic demand [bits/second]

$$\theta := \frac{\rho}{R}$$
 — system load

If $\theta < 1$ the system stable, otherwise unstable.

Number of users in the system has a geometric distribution with the mean

$$N = egin{cases} rac{ heta}{1- heta} & ext{when } heta < 1 \ \infty & ext{when } heta \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

(*)

Spatial processor sharing queue

Service (transmission) rate R(y) depends on user location y (because of the distance to transmitting station, etc...)

Denote: V — the service zone (cell)

Spatial processor sharing queue

Service (transmission) rate R(y) depends on user location y (because of the distance to transmitting station, etc...)

Denote: V — the service zone (cell)

Equation (*) applies with R being the harmonic average of the local service rates R(y)

$$R = rac{|V|}{\int_V 1/R(y)\,dy}$$

as often in the case of rate averaging...

Spatial processor sharing queue

Service (transmission) rate R(y) depends on user location y (because of the distance to transmitting station, etc...) Denote: V — the service zone (cell)

Equation (*) applies with **R** being the harmonic av

Equation (*) applies with R being the harmonic average of the local service rates R(y)

$$R = rac{|V|}{\int_V 1/R(y)\,dy}$$

as often in the case of rate averaging...

How to model service rates R(y)?

Information theory

for the link quality

Transmission rate R(y) is technology dependent (information coding, simple or multiple transmission/reception antenna systems, etc)

Transmission rate R(y) is technology dependent (information coding, simple or multiple transmission/reception antenna systems, etc)

We express available R(y) with respect to the information-theoretic capacity bounds for appropriate channel models.

Transmission rate R(y) is technology dependent (information coding, simple or multiple transmission/reception antenna systems, etc)

We express available R(y) with respect to the information-theoretic capacity bounds for appropriate channel models.

E.g. the Shannons law for the Gaussian channel says

 $R(y) = aW \log(1 + \mathsf{SNR}(y)),$

where

W — channel bandwidth [Hertz] SNR — signal-to-noise ratio a (0 < a < 1) — calibration coefficient (real v/s theoretical rate)

Transmission rate R(y) is technology dependent (information coding, simple or multiple transmission/reception antenna systems, etc)

We express available R(y) with respect to the information-theoretic capacity bounds for appropriate channel models.

E.g. the Shannons law for the Gaussian channel says

 $R(y) = aW \log(1 + \mathsf{SNR}(y)),$

where

W — channel bandwidth [Hertz]

SNR — signal-to-noise ratio

a (0 < a < 1) — calibration coefficient (real v/s theoretical rate)

More specific expressions for MMSE, MMSE-SIC, MIMO, etc...

Concluding for one cell

Throughput *r* v/s traffic demand *ρ*

Putting together previously explained relations for one cell V $r = \rho/N, N = \theta/(1 - \theta), \theta = \rho/R$ one obtains $r = (\rho^c - \rho)^+,$

where

$$\rho^c := R = \frac{|V|}{\int_V 1/R(\mathsf{SINR}(y))\,dy}$$

can be interpreted as the critical traffic demand for cell Vand R(SINR(y)) are location dependent user peak service rates, which depend on the SINR experienced at y.

Throughput *r* v/s traffic demand *ρ*

Putting together previously explained relations for one cell V $r = \rho/N, N = \theta/(1 - \theta), \theta = \rho/R$ one obtains $r = (\rho^c - \rho)^+,$

where

$$\rho^c := R = \frac{|V|}{\int_V 1/R(\mathsf{SINR}(y))\,dy}$$

can be interpreted as the critical traffic demand for cell Vand R(SINR(y)) are location dependent user peak service rates, which depend on the SINR experienced at y.

Adequate model for the spatial distribution of the SINR in cellular networks is required!

$r = (\rho^c - \rho)^+$ cell by cell?



Stochastic geometry for a large multi-cell network

Network of interacting cells

 V_i — network cells on the plane (i = 1, ...)

Network of interacting cells

$$\begin{split} V_i &- \text{network cells on the plane } (i = 1, \ldots) \\ \rho_i &:= \rho \times |V_i| - \text{traffic demand to cell } i \\ \rho_i^c &= \frac{|V_i|}{\int_{V_i} 1/R(\text{SINR}_i(y)) \, dy} - \text{critical traffic demand for cell } i \\ \theta_i &= \rho_i / \rho_i^c = \rho \int_{V_i} 1/R(\text{SINR}_i(y)) \, dy - \text{load of cell } i \\ N_i &= \theta_i / (1 - \theta_i) - \text{mean number of users in cell } i \\ r_i &= (\rho_i^c - \rho_i)^+ - \text{throughput in cell } i. \end{split}$$
Network of interacting cells

 V_i — network cells on the plane (i = 1, ...) $\rho_i := \rho \times |V_i|$ — traffic demand to cell *i* $\rho_i^c = \frac{|V_i|}{\int_{V_i} 1/R(SINR_i(y)) \, dy}$ — critical traffic demand for cell *i* $\theta_i = \rho_i / \rho_i^c = \rho \int_{V_i} 1/R(\mathsf{SINR}_i(y)) \, dy$ — load of cell *i* $N_i = \theta_i / (1 - \theta_i)$ — mean number of users in cell *i* $r_i = (\rho_i^c - \rho_i)^+$ — throughput in cell *i*.

However, $SINR_i(y)$ depends on the extra-cell interference. Study of such dependent PS-queues is impossible!

Decoupling of cells

Simplifying idea: "decoupling cells in time", keeping only spatial dependence

Decoupling of cells

Simplifying idea: "decoupling cells in time", keeping only spatial dependence

Come up with a model in which stochastic processes describing the evolution of PS-queues at different cells are conditionally independent, given locations of network BS, which will be assumed (random) point process.

Cell load equations

Assume that the cell loads θ_i $i = i, \ldots$ satisfy the system of fixed-point equations

$$egin{split} eta_i &=
ho \int_{V_i} rac{1}{R\left(rac{P/l(|y-X_i|)}{N+P\sum\limits_{j
eq i} \min(eta_j,1)/l(|y-X_j|)}
ight)} \, dy \end{split}$$

where X_i is the location of the BS i, $l(\cdot)$ is the path loss function, N external noise, P BS transmit power.

Cell load equations

Assume that the cell loads θ_i $i = i, \ldots$ satisfy the system of fixed-point equations

$$egin{split} eta_{i} &=
ho \int_{V_{i}} rac{1}{R\left(rac{P/l(|y-X_{i}|)}{N+P\sum\limits_{j
eq i} \min(eta_{j},1)/l(|y-X_{j}|)}
ight)} \, dy \end{split}$$

where X_i is the location of the BS i, $l(\cdot)$ is the path loss function, N external noise, P BS transmit power.

Recall: θ_i (provided $\theta_i < 1$) is the probability that the PS queue of cell *i* is not idle.

Cell load equations

Assume that the cell loads θ_i $i = i, \ldots$ satisfy the system of fixed-point equations

$$egin{split} eta_{i} &=
ho \int_{V_{i}} rac{1}{R\left(rac{P/l(|y-X_{i}|)}{N+P\sum\limits_{j
eq i} \min(eta_{j},1)/l(|y-X_{j}|)}
ight)} \, dy \end{split}$$

where X_i is the location of the BS i, $l(\cdot)$ is the path loss function, N external noise, P BS transmit power.

Recall: θ_i (provided $\theta_i < 1$) is the probability that the PS queue of cell *i* is not idle.

Existence of a solution! We assume uniqueness; partially supported by [Siomina&Yuan, "Analysis of cell load coupling for LTE network ..." IEEE TWC 2012].

Stable fraction of the network

There is no one global network stability condition. Recall: for a given traffic demand ρ per unit of surface, cell *i* is stable provided $\rho_i = \rho |V_i| < \rho_i^c$.

Stable fraction of the network

There is no one global network stability condition. Recall: for a given traffic demand ρ per unit of surface, cell *i* is stable provided $\rho_i = \rho |V_i| < \rho_i^c$.

Denote:

 $S = \bigcup_{i:\rho_i < \rho_i^c} V_i$ — union of all stable cells π_S — fraction of the surface covered by S; equivalently: probability that the typical user is covered by a stable cell.

Mean user throughput in large network

Define the mean user throughput in the network as the ratio

average number of bits per data request

average duration of the data transfer in the stable part \mathcal{S}

in the stable part \mathcal{S} of the network;

("ratio of averages" not the "average of ratios").

Mean user throughput in large network

Define the mean user throughput in the network as the ratio

average number of bits per data request

average duration of the data transfer in the stable part \mathcal{S}

in the stable part *S* of the network; ("ratio of averages" not the "average of ratios").

We have

$$r:=rac{
ho\,\pi_{\mathcal{S}}}{\lambda_{
m BS}N^0}$$

where

 λ_{BS} is the density of BS deployment (stationary, ergodic) $N^0 := 1/n \sum_{i:\rho_i < \rho_i^c}^n N_i$ is the spatial average of the (steady-state mean) number of users per stable cell; (typical (stable) network cell interpretation).

"Mean cell" approach

Mean cell load: constant
$$\bar{\theta}$$
 satisfying
 $\bar{\theta} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_{BS}} E \left[1/R \left(\frac{P/l \left(|X^*| \right)}{N + P \sum_{X_j \neq X^*} \bar{\theta}/l \left(|y - Z| \right)} \right) \right]$, where

 X^* BS serving the typical user (located at 0).

"Mean cell" approach

Mean cell load: constant
$$\overline{\theta}$$
 satisfying

$$\overline{\theta} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_{BS}} E\left[1/R\left(\frac{P/l\left(|X^*|\right)}{N + P\sum_{X_j \neq X^*} \overline{\theta}/l\left(|y - Z|\right)} \right) \right], \text{ where}$$
 $X^* \text{ BS serving the typical user (located at 0).}$

Mean traffic demand (to the typical cell): $\bar{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\rm BS}}$.

"Mean cell" approach

Mean cell load: constant
$$\bar{\theta}$$
 satisfying
 $\bar{\theta} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\rm BS}} E\left[1/R\left(\frac{P/l\left(|X^*|\right)}{N + P\sum_{X_j \neq X^*} \bar{\theta}/l\left(|y - Z|\right)} \right) \right]$, where

 X^* BS serving the typical user (located at 0).

Mean traffic demand (to the typical cell): $\bar{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_{\rm BS}}$.

Other "mean cell" characteristics calculated from $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ as in the case of a single (isolated) cell:

 $\bar{N} = rac{ar{ heta}}{1-ar{ heta}}$ — mean number of users

 $ar{r}=ar{
ho}(1/ar{ heta}-1)$ — mean user throughput.

Numerical results

for some real network

(a homogeneous BS deployment region)

Mean cell load and the stable fraction



Mean number of users per cell



Mean user throughput



Mean user throughput, another example



Conclusions

Conclusions

- QoS in large irregular multi-cellular networks using information theory (for link quality), processor sharing queues (traffic demand and service model, cell by cell), stochastic geometry (to handle a spatially distributed network).
- The mutual-dependence of the cells (due to the extra-cell interference) is captured via some system of cell-load equations accounting for the spatial distribution of the SINR.
- Identify macroscopic laws regarding network performance metrics involving averaging both over time and the network geometry.
- Validated against real field measurement in an operational network.

What next?

Heterogeneous networks; micro/macro cells.

What next?

- Heterogeneous networks; micro/macro cells.
- Spatially inhomogeneous networks; varying density of BS deployment, as observed at the level of a whole country; useful for macroscopic network planning and dimensioning.

Heterogeneous networks

Micro-macro stations



Multi-tier network, basic facts

Consider *J* types (tiers) of BS characterized by different (constant) transmitting powers P_j , j = 1, ..., J, modeled by independent homogeneous Poisson point processes Φ_j of intensity λ_j .

Multi-tier network, basic facts

Consider *J* types (tiers) of BS characterized by different (constant) transmitting powers P_j , j = 1, ..., J, modeled by independent homogeneous Poisson point processes Φ_j of intensity λ_j .

FACT 1: Probability that the typical cell is of type j is equal to λ_j/λ , where $\lambda = \sum_j \lambda_j$.

Multi-tier network, basic facts

Consider *J* types (tiers) of BS characterized by different (constant) transmitting powers P_j , j = 1, ..., J, modeled by independent homogeneous Poisson point processes Φ_j of intensity λ_j .

FACT 1: Probability that the typical cell is of type j is equal to λ_j/λ , where $\lambda = \sum_j \lambda_j$.

FACT 2: Probability that the cell covering the typical user is of type j is equal to a_j/a , where $a = \sum_j a_j$ and

$$a_j := rac{\pi \mathsf{E}\left[S^{2/eta}
ight]}{K^2} \lambda_j P_j^{2/eta} \,,$$

where *S* is (independent) shadowing variable.

Network equivalence

FACT 3: The distribution of the signal powers received by the typical user in the multi-tier network is the same as in the homogeneous network with all emitted powers equal to

$$P = \left(\sum_{j=1}^J rac{\lambda_j}{\lambda} P_j^{2/eta}
ight)^{eta/2}\,.$$

Network equivalence

FACT 3: The distribution of the signal powers received by the typical user in the multi-tier network is the same as in the homogeneous network with all emitted powers equal to

$$P = \left(\sum_{j=1}^J rac{\lambda_j}{\lambda} P_j^{2/eta}
ight)^{eta/2} \,.$$

Moreover, probability that a given received power is emitted by a station of type j does not depend on the value of the received power (and is equal to a_j).

Network equivalence

FACT 3: The distribution of the signal powers received by the typical user in the multi-tier network is the same as in the homogeneous network with all emitted powers equal to

$$P = \left(\sum_{j=1}^J rac{\lambda_j}{\lambda} P_j^{2/eta}
ight)^{eta/2} \,.$$

Moreover, probability that a given received power is emitted by a station of type j does not depend on the value of the received power (and is equal to a_j).

FACT 4: The mean load of the typical cell of type *j* is

$$ar{ heta}_j = ar{ heta} rac{\lambda a_j}{\lambda_j a} = ar{ heta} rac{P_j^{2/eta}}{P^{2/eta}}$$
 ,

where $\bar{\theta}$ is the load of the typical cell in the equivalent homogeneous network.

Cell load per cell type



Real data for micro/macro cells fit the analytical prediction. (Data from a commercial network in a big European city.)

Mean user throughput prediction per cell type



Real data for micro/macro cells (quite) fit the analytical prediction. (Data from a commercial network in a big European city.)

What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network in a given country?



What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network in a given country?



Spatially inhomogeneous networks

Networks with homogeneous QoS response

Assume a non-homogeneous network deployment covering urban, suburban and rural areas.

Networks with homogeneous QoS response

- Assume a non-homogeneous network deployment covering urban, suburban and rural areas.
- Propagation-losses differ depending on these network ares. Specifically, assume for $i \in \{\text{urban}, \text{suburban}, \text{rural}\}$ $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const},$ where K_i is the path-loss distance factor

 $(l(x) = (K|x|)^{\beta})$ and λ_i local density of BS.
Networks with homogeneous QoS response

- Assume a non-homogeneous network deployment covering urban, suburban and rural areas.
- Propagation-losses differ depending on these network ares. Specifically, assume for $i \in \{\text{urban}, \text{suburban}, \text{rural}\}$ $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const},$ where K_i is the path-loss distance factor

 $(l(x) = (K|x|)^{\beta})$ and λ_i local density of BS.

 The scaling laws: locally, in urban, suburban and rural areas, the same relations between the mean performance metrics and the (per-cell) traffic demand.

Networks with homogeneous QoS response

- Assume a non-homogeneous network deployment covering urban, suburban and rural areas.
- Propagation-losses differ depending on these network ares. Specifically, assume for $i \in \{\text{urban}, \text{suburban}, \text{rural}\}$ $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const},$ where K_i is the path-loss distance factor

 $(l(x) = (K|x|)^{\beta})$ and λ_i local density of BS.

- The scaling laws: locally, in urban, suburban and rural areas, the same relations between the mean performance metrics and the (per-cell) traffic demand.
- One relation is enough to capture the key dependencies for heterogeneous network dimensioning!

Justifying assumptions

Assumption $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const}$ means that the average distance D between neighbouring base stations is inversely proportional to the distance coefficient of the path-loss function: $D \times K = \text{const}$.

Justifying assumptions

Assumption $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const}$ means that the average distance D between neighbouring base stations is inversely proportional to the distance coefficient of the path-loss function: $D \times K = \text{const}$.

May be justified by the fact that operators aim to assure some coverage condition of the form $(D \times K)^{\beta} = \text{const.}$

Justifying assumptions

Assumption $K_i/\sqrt{\lambda_i} = \text{const}$ means that the average distance *D* between neighbouring base stations is inversely proportional to the distance coefficient of the path-loss function: $D \times K = \text{const}$.

May be justified by the fact that operators aim to assure some coverage condition of the form $(D \times K)^{\beta} = \text{const.}$

Example: propagation parameters for carrier frequency 1795MHz.

Environment	A	B	$K = 10^{A/B}$	$K_{ m urban}/K$
Urban	133.1	33.8	8667	1
Suburban	102.0	31.8	1612	5
Rural	97.0	31.8	1123	8

Suburban and rural BS distance *D* should be, respectively, **5** and **8** times larger than in the urban scenario. Realistic?

Cell load in different network-density zones



Real data in different zones fit the same analytical prediction. (Data from a commercial network of an international operator in a big European country — a "reference network")

Cell load with regular network decomposition



The analytical prediction fits the real data regardless of the network decomposition scale. The "reference network".

Networks in two other countries



The analytical prediction fits the real data. In Country 2 (blue points), for the traffic > 600 kbit/s per cell, an admission control is applied.

What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network at a given QoS?

- What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network at a given QoS?
- Conjecturing the homogeneous QoS response network model focus on urban zones.

- What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network at a given QoS?
- Conjecturing the homogeneous QoS response network model focus on urban zones.
- Use the mean-cell model to predict the mean user throughput for increasing traffic demand given the network density and the frequency bandwidth.

- What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network at a given QoS?
- Conjecturing the homogeneous QoS response network model focus on urban zones.
- Use the mean-cell model to predict the mean user throughput for increasing traffic demand given the network density and the frequency bandwidth.
- Find the minimal frequency bandwidth for which the prediction of the mean user throughput reaches a given target value.

Bandwidth dimensioning solution



Frequency bandwidth required to provide 5 MBit/s of mean user throughput; given the technology, carrier frequency and network density.

Conclusions

- We have presented macroscopic laws regarding network performance metrics involving averaging both over time and the network geometry.
- We are able to consider both
 - local network heterogeneity (e.g. micro/macro cells) and
 - spatially inhomogeneity of network deployment (varying density of BS)
- This latter extension is useful for macroscopic network planning and dimensioning.

More details in

- BB., Jovanovic, Karray, M. K. How user throughput depends on the traffic demand in large cellular networks. In Proc. of WiOpt/SpaSWiN 2014 (arxiv:1307.8409)
- Jovanovic, Karray, BB. QoS and network performance estimation in heterogeneous cellular networks validated by real-field measurements. In Proc. of ACM PM2HW2N 2014 (hal-01064472)
- BB, Jovanovic, Karray, Performance laws of large heterogeneous cellular networks In Proc. of WiOpt/SpaSWiN 2015 (arXiv:1411.7785)
- BB., Karray, What frequency bandwidth to run cellular network in a given country? - a downlink dimensioning problem. In Proc. of WiOpt/SpaSWiN 2015(arxiv:1410.0033)

thank you