

SPECTRAL MEASURES OF POINT PROCESSES

Pierre Brémaud

January 12, 2015

The purpose of this talk

to honor François
for his 60-th birthday

And to opportunistically take advantage of his great popularity and the large number of friends gathered in this occasion to advertise my recently published book:

Fourier Analysis and Stochastic Processes

What is it about?

Consider a point process N on \mathbb{R} with event times $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The “random Dirac comb”

$$X(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(t - T_n),$$

is not a *bona fide* stochastic process. In particular, one cannot define for the random Dirac comb associated with a stationary point process a power spectral measure as in the case of wide-sense stationary stochastic processes.

The natural extension of the notion of power spectral density is the so-called [Bartlett spectral measure](#)

Here we concentrate on the [computation](#) of such measures.

Who needs it?

- 1 biology (spike trains)
- 2 communications (ultra-wide band)
- 3 perhaps nobody needs it.

Some contributors

[M.S. Bartlett](#) (1963), The spectral analysis of point processes, *J. R. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **29**, 264-296.

[J. Neveu](#), Processus ponctuels, in *École d'été de Saint Flour*, Lect. Notes in Math. **598**, 249-445, Springer (1976).

[D.J. Daley](#), [D. Vere-Jones](#), *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes*, Springer, NY (1988, 2003).

[P. B.](#) and [L. Massoulié](#), Power Spectra of Generalized Shot Noises and Hawkes Point Processes with a random excitation, *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 205-222 (2002)

[P. B.](#), [L. Massoulié](#), and [A. Ridolfi](#), "Power spectra of random spike fields and related processes", *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, **37**, 4, 1116-1146 (2005).

Second moment measure

Second-order: for all compact sets C ,

$$E \left[N(C)^2 \right] < \infty .$$

$$M_2(A \times B) := E [N(A) N(B)] .$$

M_2 is the intensity measure of $N \times N$. By Campbell's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g(X_n, X_k) \right] \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(t, s) M_2(dt \times ds) . \end{aligned}$$

The collection of functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\varphi(t)\varphi(s)| M_2(dt \times ds) < \infty,$$

$$\Leftrightarrow E [N(|\varphi|)^2] < \infty,$$

$$\Rightarrow E [N(|\varphi|)] < \infty, E [N(|\varphi|^2)] < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow L_N^2(M_2) \subseteq L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\nu) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\nu).$$

(where $\nu(C) := E[N(C)]$)

Wide-sense stationary point process

Second-order, plus

$$E [N(C + t)] = E [N(C)],$$

and

$$E [N(A + t)N(B + t)] = E [N(A)N(B)].$$

Immediate consequence: for all non-negative φ, ψ ,

$$E \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) N(dt) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(\tau + t) N(dt) \right) \right]$$

is independent of $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Covariance measure

Basic lemma from measure theory

(X, \mathcal{X}) , μ loc. fin. measure on $\mathcal{X}^{\otimes k}$, invariant by the simultaneous shifts, that is,

$$\mu((A_1 + h) \times \cdots \times (A_k + h)) = \mu(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k).$$

Then, there exists a locally finite measure $\hat{\mu}$ on \mathcal{X}^{k-1} such that for all non-negative measurable functions f from \mathcal{X}^k to \mathbb{R} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{X}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mu(dx_1 \times \cdots \times dx_k) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{X}^{k-1}} f(x_1, x_1 + x_2, \dots, x_1 + x_k) \hat{\mu}(dx_2 \times \cdots \times dx_k) \right\} dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Application to point processes

$$M_2((A + t) \times (B + t)) = M_2(A \times B)$$

Therefore, for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^2_N(M_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \psi^*(s) M_2(dt \times ds) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \psi^*(s + t) dt \right) \sigma(ds) \end{aligned}$$

for some locally finite measure σ .

In fact, σ can be identified to the intensity measure of the Palm version of a given stationary point process.

Since for $\varphi, \psi \in L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E [N(\varphi)] E [N(\psi)]^* \\ &= \left(\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) dt \right) \left(\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi^*(s) ds \right) \\ &= \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \psi^*(t+s) dt \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

For $\varphi, \psi \in L^2_N(M_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) N(dt), \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi(s) N(ds) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \psi^*(t+s) dt \right) \Gamma_N(ds) \end{aligned}$$

where the locally finite measure

$$\Gamma_N := \sigma - \lambda^2 \ell^m$$

is called the *covariance measure* of the stationary second-order point process N .

Covariance of the renewal process.

Let N be a stationary renewal point process with renewal function R .

$$\Gamma_N(dt) = \lambda(R(dt) - \lambda dt).$$

Homogeneous Poisson process on the line. By the covariance formula,

$$\text{cov}(N(\varphi), N(\psi)) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \psi^*(t) dt.$$

$$= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \psi^*(t+s) dt \right) \varepsilon_0(ds),$$

and therefore, ,

$$\Gamma_N = \lambda \varepsilon_0.$$

Bartlett spectral measure

The unique locally finite measure μ_N such that

$$\text{Var} \left(\int \varphi(t) N(dt) \right) = \int |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_N(d\nu)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_N$, where $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq L^2_N(M^2)$ is a vector space of functions called the *test function space*.

By polarization, for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}_N$,

$$\text{cov}(N(\varphi), N(\psi)) = \int \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) \widehat{\psi}^*(\nu) \mu_N(d\nu).$$

\mathcal{B}_N should contain a class of functions rich enough to guarantee uniqueness of the measure μ_N : if the locally finite measures μ_1 and μ_2 are such that

$$\int |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_1(d\nu) = \int |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_2(d\nu)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_N$, then $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$.

Note that $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ since, as we observed earlier, $L^2_N(M^2) \subseteq L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. In particular the Fourier transform of any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_N$ is well-defined.

J. Neveu (1976): \mathcal{B}_N contains at least the functions that are, together with their Fourier transform, $O(1/|x|^2)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Examples

Poisson impulsive white noise. The covariance function is λ times the Dirac measure at the origin, and therefore its spectral measure is λ times the Lebesgue measure, therefore it admits a power spectral density that is a constant:

$$f_N(\nu) = \lambda.$$

Examples

Regular grid.

Regular T -grid on \mathbb{R} with random origin, that is $N \equiv \{nT + U; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $T > 0$, and U is uniform random $[0, T]$. Here, $\lambda = 1/T$.

$$\mu_N = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{n \neq 0} \varepsilon_{\frac{n}{T}},$$

and we can take \mathcal{B}_N specified by the following two conditions

$$\varphi \in L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{n}{T} \right) \right| < \infty.$$

Note that the latter condition implies $(\ell^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \ell^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}))$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{n}{T} u \right) \right|^2 < \infty.$$

Weak Poisson summation formula: Both sides of the following equality

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(u + nT) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) e^{2i\pi \frac{n}{T} u}. \quad (\star)$$

are well-defined, and the equality holds for almost-all $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

By (\star) ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) N(dt) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(U + nT) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) e^{2i\pi \frac{n}{T} U}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) N(dt) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T^2} E \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) \widehat{\varphi}^*\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) e^{2i\pi \left(\frac{n-k}{T}\right) U} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(t) N(dt) \right] &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E[\varphi(U + nT)] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(u + nT) du = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) dt = \frac{1}{T} \hat{\varphi}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) N(dt) \right) &= \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{n}{T} \right) \right|^2 - \frac{1}{T^2} |\hat{\varphi}(0)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{n}{T} \right) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_N(d\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Examples

Cox process.

(on \mathbb{R}^m with stochastic intensity $\{\lambda(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$.) Suppose that $\{\lambda(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ is a WSS process with mean λ and Cramér spectral measure μ_λ . Then the Bartlett spectrum of N is

$$\mu_N(d\nu) = \mu_\lambda(d\nu) + \lambda d\nu,$$

and we can take $\mathcal{B}_N = L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Even more, in this case $\mathcal{B}_N = L^2_N(M_2)$

Examples

Renewal point process

Intensity λ and non-lattice renewal distribution F . Define

$$\hat{F}(2i\pi\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-2i\pi\nu t} dF(t).$$

Note that, since F is non-lattice, $\hat{F}(\nu) \neq 1$, except for $\nu = 0$. The covariance measure is given by the formula

$$\Gamma(dx) = \lambda R(dx) - \lambda^2 \ell(dx).$$

The measure $R(dx)$ is the sum of a Dirac measure at 0, $\varepsilon(dx)$, and of a symmetric measure $U(dx)$, given by, for $dx \subset (0, \infty)$,

$$U(dx) = \sum_{n \geq 1} F^{*n}(dx).$$

Assumption: U admits a density u and

$$\int_0^\infty |u(t) - \lambda| dt < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Define

$$\hat{g}(\nu) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2i\pi\nu t} (u(t) - \lambda) dt$$

We then have, taking into account the symmetry of u ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\pi\nu t} (u(t) - \lambda) dt = \hat{g}(\nu) + \hat{g}^*(\nu)$$

We shall prove below that

$$\hat{g}(\nu) = \frac{\hat{F}(2i\pi\nu)}{1 - \hat{F}(2i\pi\nu)} + \frac{1}{2i\pi\nu} \quad (2)$$

Combining the above results, we see that the Bartlett spectrum of N admits the density

$$f_N(\nu) = \lambda \left(1 + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\hat{F}(2i\pi\nu)}{1 - \hat{F}(2i\pi\nu)} \right) \right)$$

We shall now prove (2). For $\theta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-(\theta+2i\pi\nu)t}(u(t) - \lambda)dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\theta+2i\pi\nu)t} F^{*n}(dt) \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty e^{-(\theta+2i\pi\nu)t} \lambda dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{F}(\theta + 2i\pi\nu)^n - \frac{\lambda}{\theta + 2i\pi\nu} \\ &= \frac{\hat{F}(\theta + 2i\pi\nu)}{1 - \hat{F}(\theta + 2i\pi\nu)} - \frac{\lambda}{\theta + 2i\pi\nu} \end{aligned}$$

For $\nu \neq 0$, letting θ tend to 0 in the first term of the above equality, we obtain by dominated convergence $\int_0^\infty e^{-2i\pi\nu t}(u(t) - \lambda)dt$. Letting θ tend to 0 in $\hat{F}(\theta + 2i\pi\nu)$, we obtain $\hat{F}(2i\pi\nu)$, again by dominated convergence.

A universal covariance formula

$N \equiv \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ p.p. on \mathbb{R}^m , locally finite and simple, spectral measure μ_N .
 $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ IID, values in (K, \mathcal{K}) and distribution Q , independent of N .

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}^p(\ell \times Q) := \left\{ \int E [|\varphi(t, Z)|^p] dt < \infty \right\}$$

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\ell \times Q) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\ell \times Q)$$

In particular, $\varphi(t, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(P)$ t -a.e. and we can define t -a.e.

$$\bar{\varphi}(t) := E [\varphi(t, Z)] .$$

Also $\bar{\varphi} \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and for Q -almost all $z \in K$,
 $\varphi(\cdot, z) \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$$\widehat{\bar{\varphi}}(\nu) = E [\widehat{\varphi}(\nu, Z)] := \widehat{\bar{\varphi}}(\nu).$$

Finally, suppose that

$$\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}_N .$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{cov} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(X_n, Z_n), \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(X_n, Z_n) \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) \widehat{\psi}^*(\nu) \mu_N(d\nu) \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \text{cov}(\widehat{\varphi}(\nu, Z), \widehat{\psi}^*(\nu, Z)) d\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

Thinning

$Z_1 \in 0, 1$, $P(Z_1 = 1) = \alpha$. Let

$$N_\alpha(C) := \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_n 1_{\{X_n \in C\}}.$$

$$\mu_{N_\alpha} := \alpha^2 \mu_N + \lambda \alpha (1 - \alpha) \ell^m$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{N_\alpha} := L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap \mathcal{B}_N$

Must show that for any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{N_\alpha}$,

$$\text{Var} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x) N_\alpha(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)| \mu_{N_\alpha}(d\nu).$$

Now

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x) N_\alpha(dx) = \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_n \varphi(X_n).$$

Applying the general formula with $\varphi(x, z) = \psi(x, z) = z\varphi(x)$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{N_\alpha}$.

Jittering

\tilde{N} defined by its points

$$\{X_n + Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{N}}(d\nu) &= |\psi_Z(\nu)|^2 \mu_N(d\nu) \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(1 - |\psi_Z(\nu)|^2\right) d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi_Z(\nu) = E \left[e^{2i\pi \langle \nu, Z \rangle} \right].$$

We can take

$$\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{N}} = \{\varphi; E[\varphi(t + Z)] \in \mathcal{B}_N\} \cap L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

Jittered regular grid.

We can take

$$B_{\tilde{N}} = \left\{ \varphi; \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) \right| < \infty \right\} \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R})$$

Jittered Cox process.

We can take

$$B_{\tilde{N}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

Indeed condition $E[\varphi(t+Z)] \in \mathcal{B}_N$, that is, in this particular case, $E[\varphi(t+Z)] \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, is exactly $\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Clustering.

$\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an IID collection of point processes on \mathbb{R}^m , independent of N .
Let Z be a point process on \mathbb{R}^m with the same distribution as the Z_n 's.

Define

$$\psi_Z(\nu) := E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{2i\pi \langle \nu, t \rangle} Z(dt) \right]$$

The function ψ_Z is well defined under the assumption

$$E[Z(\mathbb{R}^m)] < \infty.$$

(In particular, Z is almost surely a finite point process.)

We now define

$$\tilde{N}(C) = N(C) + \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_n(C - X_n),$$

$$\hat{N}(C) = \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_n(C - X_n),$$

Formally

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \tilde{N}(dt) \right) \\ &= \text{Var} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \varphi(X_n) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(X_n + s) Z_n(ds) \right\} \right) \\ &= \text{Var} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi(X_n, Z_n) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi(x, z) = \varphi(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x + s) z(ds).$$

We have

$$E[\varphi(x, Z)] = \varphi(x) + E\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x+s) Z(ds)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\varphi}(\nu, z) &= \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t+s) z(ds)\right) e^{-2i\pi\langle\nu, t\rangle} dt \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t+s) e^{-2i\pi\langle\nu, t\rangle} dt\right) z(ds) \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) e^{2i\pi\langle\nu, s\rangle} z(ds) \\ &= \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{2i\pi\langle\nu, s\rangle} z(ds)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\widehat{\widehat{\varphi}}(\nu) = \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) (1 + \psi_Z(\nu))$$

Applying the general covariance formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\tilde{N}}(d\nu) &= |1 + \psi_Z(\nu)|^2 \mu_N(d\nu) \\ &\quad + \lambda \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{2i\pi\langle \nu, s \rangle} Z(ds) \right) d\nu.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\hat{N}}(d\nu) &= |\psi_Z(\nu)|^2 \mu_N(d\nu) \\ &\quad + \lambda \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{2i\pi\langle \nu, s \rangle} Z(ds) \right) d\nu.\end{aligned}$$

Multivariate point process

N_1 and N_2 are WSS and moreover *jointly* WSS, that is if

$$E [N_1(A + t)N_2(B + t)] = E [N_1(A)N_2(B)].$$

One says that N_1 and N_2 admit the *cross-spectral measure* μ_{N_1, N_2} , sigma-finite signed, if for all $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{N_1}$, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{N_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} (N_1(\varphi_1), N_2(\varphi_2)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\varphi}_1(\nu)\widehat{\varphi}_2(\nu)^* \mu_{N_1, N_2}(d\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Bivariate WSS Cox processes. Let N_1 and N_2 be WSS Cox processes with stochastic intensities $\{\lambda_1(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\lambda_2(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, jointly stationary WSS stochastic processes with cross-spectral measure $\mu_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$.

$$\mu_{N_1, N_2} = \mu_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}.$$

Cross-spectrum of a point process and its jittered version.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi(X_n), \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi(X_n + Z_n) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) E \left[\widehat{\psi}(\nu + Z)^* \right] \mu_N(d\nu). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(\nu + Z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi(t + Z) e^{-2i\pi\nu t} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \psi(t) e^{-2i\pi\nu(t-Z)} dt \\ &= \widehat{\psi}(\nu) E \left[e^{+2i\pi\nu Z} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation is with respect to Z a random variable with the common probability distribution of the marks.

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(X_n), \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(X_n + Z_n) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) \widehat{\psi}(\nu)^* E \left[e^{-2i\pi\nu Z} \right] \mu_N(d\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mu_{N_1, N_2}(d\nu) = E \left[e^{-2i\pi\nu Z} \right] \mu_N(d\nu).$$

Random sampling

The **sampler**: A WSS point process on \mathbb{R}^m with intensity λ , point sequence $\{V_n\}_{n \geq 1}$.

The **sampled process** is WSS

$$X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{2i\pi\langle \nu, t \rangle} Z_X(d\nu) + m_X$$

The sampled process and the sampler are independent.

The **sample brush**

$$Y(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} X(V_n) \delta(t - V_n)$$

is identified with the signed measure

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} X(V_n) \varepsilon_{V_n}.$$

The **extended spectral measure of the sample brush**: A locally finite measure μ_Y such that, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) X(t) N(dt) \right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_Y(d\nu), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{B}_Y is a large enough vector space of functions, here also called the “**test functions**”.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) Y(t) dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} X(V_n) \delta(t - V_n) \right) dt \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi(V_n) X(V_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) X(t) N(dt) ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t) Y(t) dt \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 \mu_Y(d\nu) .
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_Y = \mu_N * \mu_X + \lambda^2 \mu_X + |m_X|^2 \mu_N.$$

If \mathcal{B}_N is stable with respect to multiplications by complex exponential functions, we can take for test function space $\mathcal{B}_Y = \mathcal{B}_N$.

To be compared with that giving the spectral measure μ_Y of the product of two independent WSS stochastic processes, $Y(t) = Z(t)X(t)$:

$$\mu_Y = \mu_Z * \mu_X.)$$

Examples

Cox sampling.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_Y &= \mu_\lambda * \mu_X + \lambda^2 \mu_X + |m_X|^2 \mu_\lambda \\ &\quad + \lambda (\sigma_X^2 + |m_X|^2) \ell^m\end{aligned}$$

where ℓ^m is the Lebesgue measure.

$$\mathcal{B}_N = L_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathcal{B}_Y.$$

Examples

Regular sampling.

$$f_Y(\nu) = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_X\left(\nu - \frac{n}{T}\right).$$

The spectral density can be recovered from that of the sample comb provided the former is band-limited, with band width $2B < \frac{1}{T}$.

Examples

Poisson sampling.

$$f_Y(\nu) = \lambda^2 f_X(\nu) + \lambda \sigma_X^2.$$

Whatever the sampling frequency $\nu_s = \lambda$, there is no aliasing.

Reconstruction

Approximate the sampled process by a filtered version of the sample comb:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t-s) Y(s) ds$$

reconstruction error:

$$\epsilon = E \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(t-u) Y(u) du - X(t) \right|^2 \right].$$

The reconstruction error is, when the sampled process is centered:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\lambda \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) - 1|^2 \mu_X(d\nu) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 (\mu_X * \mu_\lambda)(d\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by S the support (assumed of Lebesgue measure $2B < \infty$) of the spectral measure μ_X ,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\lambda} 1_S(\nu).$$

Examples

Poisson sampling, bad news

$$\epsilon = \sigma_X^2 \frac{2B}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, sampling at the “Nyquist rate” $\lambda = 2B$ gives a very poor performance, not better than the estimate based on no observation at all.

Examples

Regular sampling

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \widehat{\varphi}(\nu) - 1 \right|^2 \mu_X(d\nu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\nu) - 1|^2 d\nu\end{aligned}$$

In the band-limited case, $T = 1/2B$ (that is, $\lambda = 2B$) the error is null. Therefore, the process is perfectly reconstructed by

$$\begin{aligned}X(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t-s) X(s) N(ds) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X(T_n) \operatorname{sinc}(2B(t - T_n)),\end{aligned}$$

Effects of jitter in Nyquist sampling

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2B} \left(\int_{-B}^B \sigma_X^2 \left(1 - \left(|\psi_Z|^2 * \tilde{f}_X \right) (\nu) \right) d\nu \right),$$

where \tilde{f}_X is the normalized power spectral density of the process $X(t)$.

THE END
(for the time being)