

Reconnaissance d'objets et vision artificielle

Jean Ponce (ponce@di.ens.fr) http://www.di.ens.fr/~ponce Equipe-projet WILLOW ENS/INRIA/CNRS UMR 8548 Laboratoire d'Informatique Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris

Cordelia Schmid



http://lear.inrialpes.fr/~schmid/

Josef Sivic



http://www.di.ens.fr/~josef/

Outline

- What computer vision is about
- What this class is about
- A brief history of visual recognition
- Alignment methods

They are formed by the projection of three-dimensional objects.



Images are brightness/color patterns drawn in a plane.





$E = (\Pi/4) [(d/z')^2 \cos^4 \alpha] L$

Question : how do we see "in 3D" ?



(First-order) answer: with our two eyes.

But there are other cues.



NATIONALGEOGRAPHIC.COM

© 2003 National Geographic Society. All rights reserved.







Source: J. Koenderink

What is happening with the shadows?







Image source: F. Durand

Challenges or opportunities?



Image source: J. Koenderink

- Images are confusing, but they also reveal the structure of the world through numerous cues.
- Our job is to interpret the cues!

The goal of computer vision



To perceive the "world behind the picture", e.g.,

- as a metric measurement device
- as a device for measuring "semantic" information

The goal of computer vision

153 156 148 152 149 147 139 146 142 150 146 144 137 125 120 119 136 146 151 164 172 175 183 188 196 200 205 208 214 214 219 217 159 151 150 148 140 138 139 129 119 104 86 82 89 97 107 115 118 130 128 132 128 144 160 168 179 188 200 208 213 220 212 214 149 146 153 147 147 146 132 99 73 78 87 96 105 120 138 151 145 157 163 171 165 161 146 126 157 184 190 201 215 212 214 214 145 150 154 148 148 126 93 67 72 78 96 107 117 127 131 134 127 154 166 167 183 194 200 195 143 140 175 190 197 203 206 207 81 78 78 91 83 117 126 144 178 200 201 203 208 175 127 159 185 196 195 206 146 144 139 123 79 66 74 83 79 69 58 50 46 54 54 66 60 80 86 108 141 101 184 200 187 123 144 175 108 100 52 70 157 141 100 84 136 187 206 204 180 200 144 103 01 115 130 147 127 01 87 80 0 0 50 101 45 69 142 164 167 113 93 130 193 199 208 203 139 102 123 143 137 131 109 85 93 0 51 156 53 75 141 160 100 151 171 108 143 181 100 208 80 72 83 50 55 54 95 98 174 205 185 179 188 185 190 193 217 217 224 189 183 152 130 121 105 105 117 118 108 107 115 110 81 85 85 87 81 81 128 183 202 175 180 178 171 173 208 225 215 219 225 178 161 149 135 120 115 122 129 137 145 131 121 125 115 109 91 92 111 132 159 173 170 184 176 184 190 191 217 210 226 228 223 140 122 116 110 109 109 113 118 115 116 123 127 135 148 154 162 165 170 171 160 183 198 201 210 223 216 221 222 221 226 188 175 150 130 118 117 113 110 108 115 117 123 130 132 138 150 157 158 174 182 180 186 198 221 224 221 223 221 223 218 218 212 147 170 154 141 174 175 175 175 176 176 176 176 176 176 171 150 165 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 276 276 276 277 278 278 278 278 278 278 279 278 188 184 172 159 138 135 135 133 143 143 144 146 145 147 160 174 184 191 199 207 211 213 217 224 227 223 223 221 221 221 224 223 139 140 147 146 149 157 162 160 159 165 174 181 198 201 210 212 216 223 224 225 225 220 215 217 215 224 224

To perceive the "world behind the picture", e.g.,

- as a metric measurement device
- as a device for "measuring" semantic information

Vision as metric measurement device: Furukawa & Ponce (CVPR'07) (cf also Keriven's class "Vision et reconstruction 3D)

Full (312)

Ring (47)

SparseRing (15)







0.63mm (3rd) 99.3% (1st)

0.49mm (5th) 99.6% (4th) 0.47mm (1st) 99.6% (1st)

Visual scene analysis

(Courtesy Ivan Laptev, VISTA)



Visual scene analysis

(Courtesy Ivan Laptev, VISTA)



Outline

- What computer vision is about
- What this class is about
- A brief history of visual recognition
- Alignment methods

Specific object detection



(Lowe, 2004)

Image classification







Caltech 101 : http://www.vision.caltech.edu/Image_Datasets/Caltech101/

Object category detection (Courtesy Ivan Laptev)



Model \equiv locally rigid assembly of parts Part \equiv locally rigid assembly of features



Qualitative experiments on Pascal VOC'07 (Kushal, Schmid, Ponce, 2008)



Local ambiguity and global scene interpretation



slide credit: Fei-Fei, Fergus & Torralba

Reconnaissance d'objets et vision artificielle

(Jean Ponce, Cordelia Schmid, Josef Sivic)

La reconnaissance automatique des objets –et de manière plus générale, l'interprétation de la scènefigurant dans une photographie ou une vidéo est le plus grand défi de la vision artificielle. Ce cours présente les modèles d'images, d'objets, et de scènes, ainsi que les méthodes et algorithmes utilisés aujourd'hui pour affronter ce défi.

Plan du cours :

- Caractéristiques visuelles : points d'intérêt, régions affines, invariants, descripteurs Sift.
- Détection d'objets et de classes spécifiques : alignement 2D et 3D, méthodes de votes, détection de visages et Adaboost.
- Classification d'images : sacs de caractéristiques visuelles et machines à vecteurs de support, grilles et pyramides, réseaux convolutionnels.
- Détection de catégories d'objets : constellations de caractéristiques visuelles, assemblages de fragments, méthodes de fenêtre glissantes, apprentissage faiblement supervisé de modèles.
- Aller plus loin : analyse de scène, analyse des activités dans les vidéos.

Bibliographie :

- D.A. Forsyth and J. Ponce, "Computer Vision: A Modern Approach", Prentice-Hall, 2003.
- J. Ponce, M. Hebert, C. Schmid, and A. Zisserman, "Toward Category-Level Object Recognition", Lecture Notes in Computer Science 4170, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

Other notable computer vision books

• O. Faugeras, Q.T. Luong, and T. Papadopoulo, "Geometry of Multiple Images," MIT Press, 2001.

• R. Hartley and A. Zisserman, "Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision", Cambridge University Press, 2004.

• J. Koenderink, "Solid Shape", MIT Press, 1990.

Slides

After classes:

http://www.di.ens.fr/~ponce/recvis/lecture1.ppt

http://www.di.ens.fr/~ponce/recvis/lecture1.pdf

Note: Much of the material used in this lecture is courtesy of Svetlana Lazebnik:, http://www.cs.unc.edu/~lazebnik/

Outline

- What computer vision is about
- What this class is about
- A brief history of visual recognition
- Alignment methods



Variability:

Camera position Illumination Internal parameters Within-class variations



Roberts (1963); Lowe (1987); Faugeras & Hebert (1986); Grimson & Lozano-Perez (1986); Huttenlocher & Ullman (1987)

Origins of computer vision



(a) Original picture.



(b) Differentiated picture.







L. G. Roberts, *Machine Perception of Three Dimensional Solids,* Ph.D. thesis, MIT Department of Electrical Engineering, 1963.

(c) Line drawing.

(d) Rotated view.

Huttenlocher & Ullman (1987)











Duda & Hart (1972); Weiss (1987); Mundy et al. (1992-94); Rothwell et al. (1992); Burns et al. (1993)
Example: affine invariants of coplanar points



Projective invariants (Rothwell et al., 1992):



BUT: True 3D objects do not admit monocular viewpoint invariants (Burns et al., 1993) !!



Empirical models of image variability: Appearance-based techniques

Turk & Pentland (1991); Murase & Nayar (1995); etc.

Eigenfaces (Turk & Pentland, 1991)



Experimental	Correct/Unknown Recognition Percentage		
Condition	Lighting	Orientation	Scale
Forced classification	96/0	85/0	64/0
Forced 100% accuracy	100/19	100/39	100/60
Forced 20% unknown rate	100/20	94/20	74/20



Appearance manifolds (Murase & Nayar, 1995)



Correlation-based template matching (60s)



Ballard & Brown (1980, Fig. 3.3). Courtesy Bob Fisher and Ballard & Brown on-line.

- Automated target recognition
- Industrial inspection
- Optical character recognition
- Stereo matching
- Pattern recognition

In the lates 1990s, a new approach emerges: Combining *local* appearance, spatial constraints, invariants, and classification techniques from machine learning.



Representing and recognizing object categories is harder



ACRONYM (Brooks and Binford, 1981)

Binford (1971), Nevatia & Binford (1972), Marr & Nishihara (1978)

Parts and invariants

The Blum transform, 1967









Generalized cylinders (Binford, 1971)

Generalized cylinders (Binford, 1971; Marr & Nishihara, 1978)



(Nevatia & Binford, 1972)

Parts and invariants II



Zhu and Yuille (1996)



Ioffe and Forsyth (2000)

In the early 2000's, a new approach?









Fergus, Perona & Zisserman (2003)

The "templates and springs" model (Fischler & Elschlager, 1973)



Ballard & Brown (1980, Fig. 11.5). Courtesy Bob Fisher and Ballard & Brown on-line.



Color histograms (S&B'91) Local jets (Florack'93) Spin images (J&H'99) Sift (Lowe'99) Shape contexts (B&M'95)

Texton histograms (L&M'97) Gist (O&T'05) Spatial pyramids (LSP'06) Hog (D&T'06) Phog (B&Z'07) Convolutional nets (LC'90)



Locally orderless structure of images (K&vD'99)



Felzwenszalb, McAllester, Ramanan (2007) [Wins on 6 of the Pascal'07 classes, see Chum & Zisserman (2007) for the other big winner.]

Outline

- What computer vision is about
- What this class is about
- A brief history of visual recognition
- Alignment methods

Reconnaissance d'objets et vision artificielle

(Jean Ponce, Cordelia Schmid, Josef Sivic)

La reconnaissance automatique des objets –et de manière plus générale, l'interprétation de la scènefigurant dans une photographie ou une vidéo est le plus grand défi de la vision artificielle. Ce cours présente les modèles d'images, d'objets, et de scènes, ainsi que les méthodes et algorithmes utilisés aujourd'hui pour affronter ce défi.

Plan du cours :

Next time

- Caractéristiques visuelles : points d'intérêt, régions affines, invariants, descripteurs Sift.
- Détection d'objets et de classes spécifiques : alignement 2D et 3D, méthodes de votes, détection de visages et Adaboost.
 Today
- Classification d'images : sacs de caractéristiques visuelles et machines à vecteurs de support, grilles et pyramides, réseaux convolutionnels.
- Détection de catégories d'objets : constellations de caractéristiques visuelles, assemblages de fragments, méthodes de fenêtre glissantes, apprentissage faiblement supervisé de modèles.
- Aller plus loin : analyse de scène, analyse des activités dans les vidéos.

Bibliographie :

- D.A. Forsyth and J. Ponce, "Computer Vision: A Modern Approach", Prentice-Hall, 2003.
- J. Ponce, M. Hebert, C. Schmid, and A. Zisserman, "Toward Category-Level Object Recognition", Lecture Notes in Computer Science 4170, Springer-Verlag, 2007.





Extract features



Extract features Compute *putative matches*



Extract features Compute *putative matches* Loop:

• *Hypothesize* transformation *T* (small group of putative matches that are related by *T*)



Extract features Compute *putative matches*

Loop:

- *Hypothesize* transformation *T* (small group of putative matches that are related by *T*)
- *Verify* transformation (search for other matches consistent with *T*)



Extract features

Compute *putative matches*

Loop:

- *Hypothesize* transformation *T* (small group of putative matches that are related by *T*)
- *Verify* transformation (search for other matches consistent with *T*)

2D transformation models





Affine



Projective (homography)



Let us start with affine transformations

- Simple fitting procedure (linear least squares)
- Approximates viewpoint changes for roughly planar objects and roughly orthographic cameras
- Can be used to initialize fitting for more complex models



Fitting an affine transformation

Assume we know the correspondences, how do we get the transformation?



Fitting an affine transformation



Linear system with six unknowns Each match gives us two linearly independent equations: need at least three to solve for the transformation parameters

What if we don't know the correspondences?

0





What if we don't know the correspondences?





- It would help to be able to compare *descriptors* of local patches surrounding interest points (cf next lecture).
- This is not strictly necessary. We will concentrate here on the geometry of the problem.

Dealing with outliers

The set of putative matches still contains a very high percentage of outliers

How do we fit a geometric transformation to a small subset of all possible matches?

Possible strategies:

- RANSAC
- Incremental alignment
- Hough transform
- Hashing

Strategy 1: RANSAC

RANSAC loop (Fischler & Bolles, 1981):

- Randomly select a *seed group* of matches
- Compute transformation from seed group
- Find *inliers* to this transformation
- If the number of inliers is sufficiently large, re-compute least-squares estimate of transformation on all of the inliers
- Keep the transformation with the largest number of inliers









Problem with RANSAC

In many practical situations, the percentage of outliers (incorrect putative matches) is very high (90% or above)

Alternative strategy: restrict search space by using strong locality constraints on seed groups and inliers Incremental alignment
Take advantage of strong locality constraints: only pick close-by matches to start with, and gradually add more matches in the same neighborhood

Approach introduced in [Ayache & Faugeras, 1982; Hebert & Faugeras, 1983; Gaston & Lozano-Perez, 1984]

Illustrated here with the method from S. Lazebnik, C. Schmid and J. Ponce, "Semi-local affine parts for object recognition", BMVC 2004

















Generating seed groups:

- Identify triples of neighboring features (*i*, *j*, *k*) in first image
- Find all triples (*i*', *j*', *k*') in the second image such that *i*' (resp. *j*', *k*') is a putative match of *i* (resp. *j*, *k*), and *j*', *k*' are neighbors of *i*'



Beginning with each seed triple, repeat:

- Estimate the aligning transformation between corresponding features in current group of matches
- Grow the group by adding other consistent matches in the neighborhood

Until the transformation is no longer consistent or no more matches can be found



Beginning with each seed triple, repeat:

- Estimate the aligning transformation between corresponding features in current group of matches
- Grow the group by adding other consistent matches in the neighborhood

Until the transformation is no longer consistent or no more matches can be found



Beginning with each seed triple, repeat:

- Estimate the aligning transformation between corresponding features in current group of matches
- Grow the group by adding other consistent matches in the neighborhood

Until the transformation is no longer consistent or no more matches can be found



Beginning with each seed triple, repeat:

- Estimate the aligning transformation between corresponding features in current group of matches
- Grow the group by adding other consistent matches in the neighborhood

Until the transformation is no longer consistent or no more matches can be found

Strategy 3: Hough transform

Suppose our features are scale- and rotation-covariant

• Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation)



David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints", *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

Strategy 3: Hough transform

Suppose our features are scale- and rotation-covariant

- Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation)
- Of course, a hypothesis obtained from a single match is unreliable
- Solution: let each match vote for its hypothesis in a Hough space with very coarse bins





David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints", *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

Hough transform details (D. Lowe's system)

- **Training phase:** For each model feature, record 2D location, scale, and orientation of model (relative to normalized feature frame)
- **Test phase:** Let each match between a test and a model feature vote in a 4D Hough space
 - Use broad bin sizes of 30 degrees for orientation, a factor of 2 for scale, and 0.25 times image size for location
 - Vote for two closest bins in each dimension
- Find all bins with at least three votes and perform geometric verification
 - Estimate least squares *affine* transformation
 - Use stricter thresholds on transformation residual
 - Search for additional features that agree with the alignment

Strategy 4: Hashing

Make each invariant image feature into a low-dimensional "key" that indexes into a table of hypotheses



Strategy 4: Hashing

Make each invariant image feature into a low-dimensional "key" that indexes into a table of hypotheses

Given a new test image, compute the hash keys for all features found in that image, access the table, and look for consistent hypotheses



Strategy 4: Hashing

- Make each invariant image feature into a low-dimensional "key" that indexes into a table of hypotheses
- Given a new test image, compute the hash keys for all features found in that image, access the table, and look for consistent hypotheses
- This can even work when we don't have any feature descriptors: we can take n-tuples of neighboring features and compute invariant hash codes from their geometric configurations



Beyond affine transformations

What is the transformation between two views of a planar surface?



What is the transformation between images from two cameras that share the same center?





Beyond affine transformations

Homography: plane projective transformation (transformation taking a quad to another arbitrary quad)



Fitting a homography

Recall: homogenenous coordinates

$$(x,y) \Rightarrow \left[egin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{array}
ight]$$

Converting *to* homogenenous image coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

Converting *from* homogenenous image coordinates

Fitting a homography

Recall: homogenenous coordinates

$$(x,y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x\\ y\\ 1\end{bmatrix}$$

Converting *to* homogenenous image coordinates

$$\begin{array}{c|c} y \\ w \end{array} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

Converting *from* homogenenous image coordinates

x

Equation for homography:

$$\begin{array}{c} x' \\ \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Fitting a homography

Equation for homography:

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x'_i \\ y'_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}_{i}' = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{h}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{h}_{3}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}$$

9 entries, 8 degrees of freedom (scale is arbitrary)

$$\mathbf{x}_i' \times \mathbf{H} \, \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}' \times \mathbf{H} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i}' \, \mathbf{h}_{3}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{h}_{2}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} \\ \mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} - x_{i}' \, \mathbf{h}_{3}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} \\ x_{i}' \, \mathbf{h}_{2}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} - y_{i}' \, \mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^T & -\mathbf{x}_i^T & y_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T \\ \mathbf{x}_i^T & \mathbf{0}^T & -x_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T \\ -y_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T & x_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T & \mathbf{0}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \\ \mathbf{h}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

3 equations, only 2 linearly independent

Direct linear transform

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^{T} & \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} & -y_{1}' \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -x_{1}' \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} & -y_{n}' \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} \\ \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} & \mathbf{0}^{T} & -x_{n}' \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$$

H has 8 degrees of freedom (9 parameters, but scale is arbitrary)

One match gives us two linearly independent equations Four matches needed for a minimal solution (null space of 8x9 matrix)

More than four: homogeneous least squares

Application: Panorama stitching



Recognizing panoramas

Given contents of a camera memory card, automatically figure out which pictures go together and stitch them together into panoramas



M. Brown and D. Lowe, "Recognizing panoramas", ICCV 2003.

1. Estimate homography (RANSAC)



1. Estimate homography (RANSAC)



1. Estimate homography (RANSAC)



2. Find connected sets of images



2. Find connected sets of images











2. Find connected sets of images















3. Stitch and blend the panoramas









Results



Issues in alignment-based applications

Choosing the geometric alignment model

Tradeoff between "correctness" and robustness (also, efficiency)

Choosing the descriptor

- "Rich" imagery (natural images): high-dimensional patch-based descriptors (e.g., SIFT)
- "Impoverished" imagery (e.g., star fields): need to create invariant geometric descriptors from k-tuples of point-based features

Strategy for finding putative matches

- Small number of images, one-time computation (e.g., panorama stitching): brute force search
- Large database of model images, frequent queries: indexing or hashing
- Heuristics for feature-space pruning of putative matches

Issues in alignment-based applications

Choosing the geometric alignment model Choosing the descriptor Strategy for finding putative matches Hypothesis generation strategy

- Relatively large inlier ratio: RANSAC
- Small inlier ratio: locality constraints, Hough transform

Hypothesis verification strategy

- Size of consensus set, residual tolerance depend on inlier ratio and expected accuracy of the model
- Possible refinement of geometric model
- Dense verification

