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## PCA on high-dimensional data

High dimensional data sets. $n$ sample points in dimension $p$, with

$$
p=\gamma n, \quad p \rightarrow \infty .
$$

for some fixed $\gamma>0$.

- Common in e.g. biology (many genes, few samples), or finance (data not stationary, many assets).
- Many recent results on PCA in this setting. Very precise knowledge of asymptotic distributions of extremal eigenvalues.
- Test the significance of principal eigenvalues.


## PCA on high-dimensional data

## Sample covariance matrix in a high dimensional setting.

- If the entries of $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ are standard i.i.d. and have a fourth moment, then

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(\frac{X^{T} X}{n-1}\right) \rightarrow(1+\sqrt{\gamma})^{2} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

$$
\text { if } p=\gamma n, p \rightarrow \infty \text {. [Geman, 1980, Yin et al., 1988] }
$$

- When $\gamma \in(0,1]$, the spectral measure converges to the following density

$$
f_{\gamma}=\frac{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}}{2 \pi \gamma x}
$$

where $a=(1-\sqrt{\gamma})^{2}$ and $b=(1+\sqrt{\gamma})^{2}$. [Marčenko and Pastur, 1967]

- The distribution of $\lambda_{\max }\left(\frac{X^{T} X}{n-1}\right)$, properly normalized, converges to the Tracy-Widom distribution [Johnstone, 2001, Karoui, 2003]. This works well even for small values of $n, p$.


## PCA on high-dimensional data



Spectrum of Wishart matrix with $p=500$ and $n=1500$.

## PCA on high-dimensional data

We focus on the following hypothesis testing problem

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{0}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{1}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta>0$ and $\|v\|_{2}=1$.

- Of course

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{\max }\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)=1+\theta
$$

so we can use $\lambda_{\max }(\cdot)$ as our test statistic.

- However, [Baik et al., 2005, Tao, 2011, Benaych-Georges et al., 2011] show that

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(\frac{X^{T} X}{n-1}\right) \rightarrow(1+\sqrt{\gamma})^{2}
$$

under both $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ when $\theta$ is small

$$
\theta \leq \gamma+\sqrt{\gamma}
$$

in the high dimensional regime $p=\gamma n$, with $\gamma \in(0,1), p \rightarrow \infty$.

## PCA on high-dimensional data

Gene expression data in [Alon et al., 1999].


Left: Spectrum of gene expression sample covariance, and Wishart matrix with equal total variance.

Right: Magnitude of coefficients in leading eigenvector, in decreasing order.

## Sparse PCA

Here, we assume the leading principal component is sparse. We will use sparse eigenvalues as a test statistic

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{\max }^{k}(\Sigma) \triangleq & \max . & x^{T} \Sigma x \\
\text { s.t. } & \operatorname{Card}(x) \leq k \\
& \|x\|_{2}=1
\end{array}
$$

- We focus on the sparse eigenvector detection problem

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{0}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{1}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta>0$ and $\|v\|_{2}=1$ with $\operatorname{Card}(v)=k$.

- We naturally have

$$
\lambda_{\max }^{k}\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{\max }^{k}\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)=1+\theta
$$

## Sparse PCA

Berthet and Rigollet [2012] show the following results on the detection threshold

- Under $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ :

$$
\lambda_{\max }^{k}(\hat{\Sigma}) \geq 1+\theta-2(1+\theta) \sqrt{\frac{\log (1 / \delta)}{n}}
$$

with probability $1-\delta$.

- Under $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ :

$$
\lambda_{\max }^{k}(\hat{\Sigma}) \leq 1+4 \sqrt{\frac{k \log (9 e p / k)+\log (1 / \delta)}{n}}+4 \frac{k \log (9 e p / k)+\log (1 / \delta)}{n}
$$

with probability $1-\delta$.
This means that the detection threshold is

$$
\theta=4 \sqrt{\frac{k \log (9 e p / k)+\log (1 / \delta)}{n}}+\ldots
$$

which is minimax optimal [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012, Th. 5.1].

## Sparse PCA

Optimal detection threshold using $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{k}(\cdot)$ is

$$
\theta=4 \sqrt{\frac{k \log (9 e p / k)+\log (1 / \delta)}{n}}+\ldots
$$

- Good news: $\lambda_{\max }^{k}(\cdot)$ is a minimax optimal statistic for detecting sparse principal components. The dimension $p$ only appears as a log term and this threshold is much better than $\theta=\sqrt{p / n}$ in the dense PCA case.

■ Bad news: Computing the statistic $\lambda_{\max }^{k}(\hat{\Sigma})$ is NP-Hard.
[Berthet and Rigollet, 2012] produce tractable statistics achieving the threshold

$$
\theta=2 \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\frac{k \log \left(4 p^{2} / \delta\right)}{n}}+\ldots
$$

which means $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ when $k, n, p \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally. However $p$ large, $k$ fixed is OK, empirical performance much better than this bound would predict.

## Sparse PCA

Francis: "Do we really care?"

Sparse regression: Lasso, Dantzig selector, sparsity inducing penalties. . .

- Sparse, $\ell_{0}$ constrained regression is hard.
- Efficient $\ell_{1}$ convex relaxations, good bounds on statistical performance.
- These convex relaxations are optimal. No further fudging required.


## Sparse PCA.

- Computing $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{k}(\cdot)$ is NP-Hard.
- Several convex relaxations, statistical performance unknown so far.
- Optimality of convex relaxation?


## Outline
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## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

## Penalized eigenvalue problem.

$$
\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho) \triangleq \max _{\|x\|_{2}=1} x^{T} \Sigma x-\rho \operatorname{Card}(x)
$$

where $\rho>0$ controls the sparsity.

We can show

$$
\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho)=\max _{\|x\|_{2}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left(a_{i}^{T} x\right)^{2}-\rho\right)_{+}
$$

and form a convex relaxation of this last problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{SDP}(\rho) \triangleq & \max . & \sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{1 / 2} a_{i} a_{i}^{T} X^{1 / 2}-\rho X\right)_{+} \\
\text {s.t. } & \operatorname{Tr}(X)=1, X \succeq 0
\end{array}
$$

which is equivalent to a semidefinite program [d'Aspremont et al., 2008].

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

Proposition 1. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]
Approximation ratio on $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$. Write $\Sigma=A^{T} A$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ the columns of $A$. Let us call $X$ the optimal solution to

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)= & \max . & \sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{1 / 2} a_{i} a_{i}^{T} X^{1 / 2}-\rho X\right)_{+} \\
& \text {s.t. } & \operatorname{Tr}(X)=1, X \succeq 0
\end{array}
$$

and let $r=\operatorname{Rank}(X)$, we have

$$
p \rho \vartheta_{r}\left(\frac{\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)}{p \rho}\right) \leq \operatorname{SPCA}(\rho) \leq \operatorname{SDP}(\rho),
$$

where

$$
\vartheta_{r}(x) \triangleq \mathbf{E}\left[\left(x \xi_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{j=2}^{r} \xi_{j}^{2}\right)_{+}\right]
$$

controls the approximation ratio.

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

- By convexity, we also have $\vartheta_{r}(x) \geq \vartheta(x)$, where

$$
\vartheta(x)=\mathbf{E}\left[\left(x \xi^{2}-1\right)_{+}\right]=\frac{2 e^{-1 / 2 x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi x}}+2(x-1) \mathcal{N}\left(-x^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

- Overall, we have the following approximation bounds

$$
\frac{\vartheta(c)}{c} \operatorname{SDP}(\rho) \leq \operatorname{SPCA}(\rho) \leq \operatorname{SDP}(\rho), \quad \text { when } c \leq \frac{\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)}{p \rho} .
$$




## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

- No uniform approximation à la MAXCUT. . . But improved results for specific instances, as in [Zwick, 1999] for MAXCUT on "heavy" cuts.
- Here, approximation quality is controlled by the ratio

$$
\frac{\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)}{p \rho}
$$

- Can we control this ratio for interesting problem instances?
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## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

We focus again on the sparse eigenvector detection problem

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{0}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{1}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta>0$ and $\|v\|_{2}=1$ with $\operatorname{Card}(v)=k$.

- Study the statistic $\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho)$

$$
\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho) \triangleq \max _{\|x\|_{2}=1} x^{T} \Sigma x-\rho \operatorname{Card}(x)
$$

under these two hypotheses.

- Bound the approximation ratio

$$
\frac{\vartheta\left(\frac{\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)}{p \rho}\right)}{\frac{\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)}{p \rho}}
$$

for the testing problem above.

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

## Proposition 2. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]

Detection threshold for $\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho)$. Suppose we set

$$
\Delta=4 \log (9 e p / k)+4 \log (1 / \delta) \quad \text { and } \quad \rho=\frac{\Delta}{n}+\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{k n(\Delta+4 / e)}}
$$

and define $\theta_{\mathrm{SPCA}}$ such that

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{SPCA}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{k(\Delta+4 / e)}{n}}+\ldots
$$

then if $\theta>\theta_{\text {SPCA }}$ in the Gaussian model, the test statistic based on $\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho)$ discriminates between $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with probability $1-3 \delta$.

Proof: Result in Berthet and Rigollet [2012] and union bounds.

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

## Proposition 3. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]

Detection threshold for $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$. Suppose $p=\gamma n$ and $k=\kappa p$, where $\gamma>0$, $\kappa \in(0,1)$ are fixed and $p$ is large. Define the detection threshold $\theta_{\text {SDP }}$ such that $\theta_{\mathrm{SDP}} \geq \beta(\gamma, \kappa)^{-1} \theta_{\mathrm{SPCA}}$ where

$$
\beta(\mu, \kappa)=\frac{\vartheta(c)}{c} \quad \text { where } \quad c=\frac{1-\gamma \Delta \kappa-\frac{\sqrt{\gamma \kappa}}{\sqrt{(\Delta+4 / e)}}-2 \sqrt{\frac{\log (1 / \delta)}{n}}}{\gamma \Delta+\frac{\gamma \Delta}{\sqrt{\kappa(\Delta+4 / e)}}},
$$

then if $\theta>\theta_{\text {SDP }}$ the test statistic based on $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$ discriminates between $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with probability $1-3 \delta$.

Proof: Setting

$$
p \rho=\gamma \Delta+\frac{\gamma \Delta}{\sqrt{\kappa(\Delta+4 / e)}}
$$

the approximation ratio is bounded by $\beta(\gamma, \kappa)$.

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues



Level sets of $\beta(\gamma, \kappa)$ for $\Delta=5$. Assuming $p=\gamma n$ and $k=\kappa p$.

## Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues

- In the regime detailed above, the detection threshold remains bounded when $k \rightarrow \infty$. In [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012], $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- For our choice of $\rho$, the approximation ratio blows up when $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. Easy to fix: Another good guess for $\rho$ when $\kappa$ is small is to pick

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{p}
$$

so the approximation ratio is of order one.

- The detection threshold for $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$ is then of order

$$
\left(1+\frac{4}{e \Delta}\right) \kappa+\frac{\gamma \Delta}{1-\gamma \Delta} \simeq\left(1+\frac{4}{e \Delta}\right) \kappa+\gamma \Delta
$$

when both $\gamma, \kappa$ are small.

- This should be compared with the detection threshold for $\lambda_{\max }(\cdot)$ from [Benaych-Georges et al., 2011] which is $\sqrt{\gamma}+\gamma$.

This (roughly) means $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$ achieves $\gamma$ when $\lambda_{\max }(\cdot)$ fails below $\sqrt{\gamma}$.
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## Algorithms

## Computing $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$. We can bound $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)= & \max .
\end{array} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{1 / 2} a_{i} a_{i}^{T} X^{1 / 2}-\rho X\right)_{+}+
$$

by solving the dual

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \lambda_{\max }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} Y_{i}\right) \\
\text { subject to } & Y_{i} \succeq a_{i} a_{i}^{T}-\rho \mathbf{I} \\
& Y_{i} \succeq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, p
\end{array}
$$

in the variables $Y_{i} \in \mathbf{S}_{p}$.

- Maximum eigenvalue minimization problem.
- $p$ matrix variables of dimension $p$. .


## Algorithms

## Frank-Wolfe algorithm for computing $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$.

Input: $\rho>0$ and a feasible starting point $Z_{0}$.
1: for $k=1$ to $N_{\max }$ do
2: $\quad$ Compute $X=\nabla f(Z)$, together with $X^{-1}$ and $X^{1 / 2}$.
3: $\quad$ Solve the $n$ subproblems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y_{i} X\right) \\
\text { subject to } & Y_{i} \succeq a_{i} a_{i}^{T}-\rho \mathbf{I}  \tag{1}\\
& Y_{i} \succeq 0
\end{array}
$$

in the variables $Y_{i} \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
4: $\quad$ Compute $W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$.
5: Update the current point, with

$$
Z_{k}=\left(1-\frac{2}{k+2}\right) Z_{k-1}+\frac{2}{k+2} W
$$

6: end for
Output: A matrix $Z \in \mathbf{S}_{n}$.

## Algorithms

- Given $X^{-1}$ and $X^{1 / 2}$, the $p$ minimization subproblems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y_{i} X\right) \\
\text { subject to } & Y_{i} \succeq a_{i} a_{i}^{T}-\rho \mathbf{I} \\
& Y_{i} \succeq 0,
\end{array}
$$

can be solved in closed form, with complexity $O\left(p^{2}\right)$.

- The individual matrices $Y_{i}$ do not need to be stored, we only update their sum at each iteration.
- Overall complexity

$$
O\left(\frac{D^{2} p^{3} \log ^{2} p}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)
$$

with storage cost $O\left(p^{2}\right)$.
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## Numerical results

Test the satistic based on $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$.

- We generate 3000 experiments, where $m$ points $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are sampled under both hypotheses, with

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{0}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{1}: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}+\theta v v^{T}\right)\end{cases}
$$

with $\|v\|_{2}=1$ and $\operatorname{Card}(v)=k$.

- Pick $p=250, n=1500$ and $k=10$. We set $\theta=2 / 3, v_{i}=1 / \sqrt{k}$ when $i \in[1, k]$ and zero otherwise.
- We compute $\operatorname{SDP}_{k} \triangleq \min _{\rho>0} \operatorname{SDP}(\rho)+\rho k$ from several values of $\operatorname{SDP}(\rho)$ around the oracle $\rho$ and $\rho=0$ (which is $\lambda_{\max }(\hat{\Sigma})$ ).


## Numerical results



Distribution of test statistic $\operatorname{SDP}_{k}$ (top left), the $M D P_{k}$ statistic in [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012] (top right), the $\lambda_{\max }(\cdot)$ statistic (bottom left) and the diagonal statistic from [Amini and Wainwright, 2009] (bottom right).

## Numerical results



Ratio of $5 \%$ quantile under $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ over $95 \%$ quantile under $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, versus signal strength $\theta$. When this ratio is larger than one, both type I and type II errors are below 5\%.

## Conclusion

- Constant approximation bounds for sparse PCA relaxations in high dimensional regimes.
- Explicit, finite bounds on detection threshold when $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Open questions. . .

- Solve SDP efficiently with fixed $k$ (usual oracle), hence optimal $\rho$. Improve precision.
- Better approximation bounds for $\kappa$ small? We should handle the case $p \gg n$.
- Faster statistics with similar bounds?
- Improved approximation ratio by direct analysis of the problem under $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ ?

■ Model Selection: do we recover the correct sparse eigenvector?
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