Approximation Bounds for Sparse Principal Component Analysis

Alexandre d'Aspremont, CNRS & Ecole Polytechnique.

With Francis Bach, INRIA-ENS and Laurent El Ghaoui, U.C. Berkeley.

Support from NSF, ERC and Google.

High dimensional data sets. n sample points in dimension p, with

$$p = \gamma n, \quad p \to \infty.$$

for some fixed $\gamma > 0$.

- Common in e.g. biology (many genes, few samples), or finance (data not stationary, many assets).
- Many recent results on PCA in this setting. Very precise knowledge of asymptotic distributions of extremal eigenvalues.
- Test the significance of principal eigenvalues.

Sample covariance matrix in a high dimensional setting.

If the entries of $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ are standard i.i.d. and have a fourth moment, then

$$\lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{X^T X}{n-1}\right) \to (1+\sqrt{\gamma})^2 \quad a.s.$$

if $p = \gamma n$, $p \to \infty$. [Geman, 1980, Yin et al., 1988]

• When $\gamma \in (0,1]$, the spectral measure converges to the following density

$$f_{\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}}{2\pi\gamma x}$$

where $a = (1 - \sqrt{\gamma})^2$ and $b = (1 + \sqrt{\gamma})^2$. [Marčenko and Pastur, 1967]

The distribution of $\lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{X^TX}{n-1}\right)$, properly normalized, converges to the Tracy-Widom distribution [Johnstone, 2001, Karoui, 2003]. This works well even for small values of n, p.



Spectrum of Wishart matrix with p = 500 and n = 1500.

Rennes, Nov. 2012. 4/33

We focus on the following hypothesis testing problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_0: \quad x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_p\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_1: \quad x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T\right) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$ and $||v||_2 = 1$.

Of course

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{I}_p) = 1$$
 and $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T) = 1 + \theta$
so we can use $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ as our test statistic.

 However, [Baik et al., 2005, Tao, 2011, Benaych-Georges et al., 2011] show that

$$\lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{X^T X}{n-1}\right) \to (1+\sqrt{\gamma})^2$$

under both \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 when θ is small

$$\theta \leq \gamma + \sqrt{\gamma}$$

in the high dimensional regime $p = \gamma n$, with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $p \to \infty$.

Gene expression data in [Alon et al., 1999].



Left: Spectrum of gene expression sample covariance, and Wishart matrix with equal total variance.

Right: Magnitude of coefficients in leading eigenvector, in decreasing order.

Here, we assume the **leading principal component is sparse**. We will use sparse eigenvalues as a test statistic

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\max}^k(\Sigma) &\triangleq & \max. \quad x^T \Sigma x \\ & \text{ s.t. } \quad \mathbf{Card}(x) \leq k \\ & \|x\|_2 = 1, \end{split}$$

• We focus on the **sparse eigenvector detection** problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_0: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_p\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_1: & x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T\right) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$ and $||v||_2 = 1$ with Card(v) = k.

We naturally have

$$\lambda_{\max}^k(\mathbf{I}_p) = 1$$
 and $\lambda_{\max}^k(\mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T) = 1 + \theta$

Sparse PCA

Berthet and Rigollet [2012] show the following results on the detection threshold

• Under \mathcal{H}_1 :

$$\lambda_{\max}^k(\hat{\Sigma}) \ge 1 + \theta - 2(1+\theta)\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}$$

with probability $1 - \delta$.

• Under \mathcal{H}_0 :

$$\lambda_{\max}^k(\hat{\Sigma}) \le 1 + 4\sqrt{\frac{k\log(9ep/k) + \log(1/\delta)}{n}} + 4\frac{k\log(9ep/k) + \log(1/\delta)}{n}$$

with probability $1 - \delta$.

This means that the **detection threshold** is

$$\theta = 4\sqrt{\frac{k\log(9ep/k) + \log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \dots$$

which is minimax optimal [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012, Th. 5.1].

Sparse PCA

Optimal detection threshold using $\lambda_{\max}^k(\cdot)$ is

$$\theta = 4\sqrt{\frac{k\log(9ep/k) + \log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \dots$$

- **Good news:** $\lambda_{\max}^k(\cdot)$ is a minimax optimal statistic for detecting sparse principal components. The dimension p only appears as a log term and this threshold is much better than $\theta = \sqrt{p/n}$ in the dense PCA case.
- **Bad news:** Computing the statistic $\lambda_{\max}^k(\hat{\Sigma})$ is **NP-Hard**.

[Berthet and Rigollet, 2012] produce tractable statistics achieving the threshold

$$\theta = 2\sqrt{k}\sqrt{\frac{k\log(4p^2/\delta)}{n}} + \dots$$

which means $\theta \to \infty$ when $k, n, p \to \infty$ proportionally. However p large, k fixed is OK, empirical performance much better than this bound would predict.

Francis: "Do we really care?"

Sparse regression: Lasso, Dantzig selector, sparsity inducing penalties...

- Sparse, ℓ_0 constrained regression is hard.
- Efficient ℓ_1 convex relaxations, good bounds on statistical performance.
- These convex relaxations are **optimal**. **No further fudging required**.

Sparse PCA.

- Computing $\lambda_{\max}^k(\cdot)$ is NP-Hard.
- Several convex relaxations, statistical performance unknown so far.
- Optimality of convex relaxation?

- PCA on high-dimensional data
- Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues
- Tractable detection for sparse PCA
- Algorithms
- Numerical results

Penalized eigenvalue problem.

$$\operatorname{SPCA}(\rho) \triangleq \max_{\|x\|_2=1} x^T \Sigma x - \rho \operatorname{Card}(x)$$

where $\rho > 0$ controls the sparsity.

We can show

$$SPCA(\rho) = \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left((a_i^T x)^2 - \rho \right)_+$$

and form a **convex relaxation** of this last problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SDP}(\rho) &\triangleq \quad \max. \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbf{Tr}(X^{1/2} a_{i} a_{i}^{T} X^{1/2} - \rho X)_{+} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{Tr}(X) = 1, \ X \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to a semidefinite program [d'Aspremont et al., 2008].

Proposition 1. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]

Approximation ratio on $SDP(\rho)$. Write $\Sigma = A^T A$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}^p$ the columns of A. Let us call X the optimal solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SDP}(\rho) &= \max \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{Tr}(X^{1/2} a_{i} a_{i}^{T} X^{1/2} - \rho X)_{+} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \text{Tr}(X) &= 1, \ X \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and let $r = \operatorname{\mathbf{Rank}}(X)$, we have

$$p\rho \ \vartheta_r\left(\frac{\mathrm{SDP}(\rho)}{p\rho}\right) \leq \mathrm{SPCA}(\rho) \leq \mathrm{SDP}(\rho),$$

where

$$\vartheta_r(x) \triangleq \mathbf{E}\left[\left(x\xi_1^2 - \frac{1}{r-1}\sum_{j=2}^r \xi_j^2\right)_+\right]$$

controls the approximation ratio.

By convexity, we also have $\vartheta_r(x) \ge \vartheta(x)$, where

$$\vartheta(x) = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(x\xi^2 - 1\right)_+\right] = \frac{2e^{-1/2x}}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} + 2(x-1)\mathcal{N}\left(-x^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

Overall, we have the following approximation bounds





- No uniform approximation à la MAXCUT... But improved results for specific instances, as in [Zwick, 1999] for MAXCUT on "heavy" cuts.
- Here, approximation quality is controlled by the ratio

 $\frac{\mathrm{SDP}(\rho)}{p\rho}$

• Can we control this ratio for interesting problem instances?

- PCA on high-dimensional data
- Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues
- Tractable detection for sparse PCA
- Algorithms
- Numerical results

We focus again on the **sparse eigenvector detection** problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_0 : & x \sim \mathcal{N} (0, \mathbf{I}_p) \\ \mathcal{H}_1 : & x \sim \mathcal{N} (0, \mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\theta > 0$ and $||v||_2 = 1$ with Card(v) = k.

• Study the statistic $SPCA(\rho)$

$$SPCA(\rho) \triangleq \max_{\|x\|_2=1} x^T \Sigma x - \rho \operatorname{Card}(x)$$

under these two hypotheses.

Bound the approximation ratio

$$\frac{\vartheta\left(\frac{\mathrm{SDP}(\rho)}{p\rho}\right)}{\frac{\mathrm{SDP}(\rho)}{p\rho}}$$

for the testing problem above.

Proposition 2. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]

Detection threshold for $SPCA(\rho)$. *Suppose we set*

$$\Delta = 4\log(9ep/k) + 4\log(1/\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \frac{\Delta}{n} + \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{kn(\Delta + 4/e)}}$$

and define $\theta_{\rm SPCA}$ such that

$$\theta_{\rm SPCA} = 2\sqrt{\frac{k(\Delta + 4/e)}{n}} + \dots$$

then if $\theta > \theta_{SPCA}$ in the Gaussian model, the test statistic based on $SPCA(\rho)$ discriminates between \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 with probability $1 - 3\delta$.

Proof: Result in Berthet and Rigollet [2012] and union bounds.

Proposition 3. [d'Aspremont, Bach, and El Ghaoui, 2012]

Detection threshold for $\text{SDP}(\rho)$. Suppose $p = \gamma n$ and $k = \kappa p$, where $\gamma > 0$, $\kappa \in (0,1)$ are fixed and p is large. Define the detection threshold θ_{SDP} such that $\theta_{\text{SDP}} \ge \beta(\gamma, \kappa)^{-1} \theta_{\text{SPCA}}$ where

$$\beta(\mu,\kappa) = \frac{\vartheta(c)}{c} \quad \text{where} \quad c = \frac{1 - \gamma\Delta\kappa - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma\kappa}}{\sqrt{(\Delta + 4/e)}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}}{\gamma\Delta + \frac{\gamma\Delta}{\sqrt{\kappa(\Delta + 4/e)}}},$$

then if $\theta > \theta_{SDP}$ the test statistic based on $SDP(\rho)$ discriminates between \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 with probability $1 - 3\delta$.

Proof: Setting

$$p\rho = \gamma \Delta + \frac{\gamma \Delta}{\sqrt{\kappa(\Delta + 4/e)}}$$

the approximation ratio is bounded by $\beta(\gamma, \kappa)$.



Level sets of $\beta(\gamma, \kappa)$ for $\Delta = 5$. Assuming $p = \gamma n$ and $k = \kappa p$.

A. d'Aspremont

Rennes, Nov. 2012. 20/33

- In the regime detailed above, the **detection threshold remains bounded** when $k \to \infty$. In [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012], $\theta \to \infty$ when $k \to \infty$.
- For our choice of ρ , the approximation ratio blows up when $\kappa \to 0$. Easy to fix: Another good guess for ρ when κ is small is to pick

$$\rho = \frac{1}{p}$$

so the approximation ratio is of order one.

• The detection threshold for $SDP(\rho)$ is then of order

$$\left(1 + \frac{4}{e\Delta}\right)\kappa + \frac{\gamma\Delta}{1 - \gamma\Delta} \simeq \left(1 + \frac{4}{e\Delta}\right)\kappa + \gamma\Delta$$

when both γ, κ are small.

This should be compared with the detection threshold for $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ from [Benaych-Georges et al., 2011] which is $\sqrt{\gamma} + \gamma$.

This (roughly) means $SDP(\rho)$ achieves γ when $\lambda_{max}(\cdot)$ fails below $\sqrt{\gamma}$.

- PCA on high-dimensional data
- Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues
- Tractable detection for sparse PCA
- Algorithms
- Numerical results

Algorithms

Computing $SDP(\rho)$. We can bound $SDP(\rho)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SDP}(\rho) &= \max \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{Tr}(X^{1/2} a_i a_i^T X^{1/2} - \rho X)_+ \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \text{Tr}(X) &= 1, \ X \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by solving the **dual**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \lambda_{\max} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} Y_i \right) \\ \text{subject to} & Y_i \succeq a_i a_i^T - \rho \mathbf{I} \\ & Y_i \succeq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \end{array}$$

in the variables $Y_i \in \mathbf{S}_p$.

- Maximum eigenvalue minimization problem.
- p matrix variables of dimension p...

Algorithms

Frank-Wolfe algorithm for computing $SDP(\rho)$.

Input: $\rho > 0$ and a feasible starting point Z_0 .

- 1: for k = 1 to N_{max} do
- 2: Compute $X = \nabla f(Z)$, together with X^{-1} and $X^{1/2}$.
- 3: Solve the n subproblems

minimize
$$\mathbf{Tr}(Y_i X)$$

subject to $Y_i \succeq a_i a_i^T - \rho \mathbf{I}$ (1)
 $Y_i \succeq 0,$

in the variables
$$\underline{Y_i} \in \mathbf{S}_n$$
 for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

- 4: Compute $W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$.
- 5: Update the current point, with

$$Z_{k} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{k+2}\right) Z_{k-1} + \frac{2}{k+2}W,$$

6: end for Output: A matrix $Z \in \mathbf{S}_n$.

Algorithms

• Given X^{-1} and $X^{1/2}$, the *p* minimization subproblems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \mathbf{Tr}(Y_i X) \\ \text{subject to} & Y_i \succeq a_i a_i^T - \rho \mathbf{I} \\ & Y_i \succeq 0, \end{array}$$

can be solved in closed form, with complexity $O(p^2)$.

- The individual matrices Y_i do not need to be stored, we only update their sum at each iteration.
- Overall complexity

$$O\left(\frac{D^2p^3\log^2 p}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

with storage cost $O(p^2)$.

- PCA on high-dimensional data
- Approximation bounds for sparse eigenvalues
- Tractable detection for sparse PCA
- Algorithms
- Numerical results

Test the satistic based on $SDP(\rho)$.

• We generate 3000 experiments, where m points $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are sampled under both hypotheses, with

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_0 : & x \sim \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_p \right) \\ \mathcal{H}_1 : & x \sim \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_p + \theta v v^T \right) \end{cases}$$

with $||v||_2 = 1$ and Card(v) = k.

- Pick p = 250, n = 1500 and k = 10. We set $\theta = 2/3$, $v_i = 1/\sqrt{k}$ when $i \in [1, k]$ and zero otherwise.
- We compute $\text{SDP}_k \triangleq \min_{\rho>0} \text{SDP}(\rho) + \rho k$ from several values of $\text{SDP}(\rho)$ around the oracle ρ and $\rho = 0$ (which is $\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma})$).

Numerical results



Distribution of test statistic SDP_k (top left), the MDP_k statistic in [Berthet and Rigollet, 2012] (top right), the $\lambda_{max}(\cdot)$ statistic (bottom left) and the diagonal statistic from [Amini and Wainwright, 2009] (bottom right).

Numerical results



Ratio of 5% quantile under \mathcal{H}_1 over 95% quantile under \mathcal{H}_0 , versus signal strength θ . When this ratio is larger than one, both type I and type II errors are below 5%.

Conclusion

- Constant approximation bounds for sparse PCA relaxations in high dimensional regimes.
- Explicit, finite bounds on detection threshold when $p \to \infty$.

Open questions. . . .

- Solve SDP efficiently with fixed k (usual oracle), hence optimal ρ . Improve precision.
- Better approximation bounds for κ small? We should handle the case p >> n.
- Faster statistics with similar bounds?
- Improved approximation ratio by direct analysis of the problem under \mathcal{H}_0 ?
- **Model Selection:** do we recover the correct sparse eigenvector?

References

- A. Alon, N. Barkai, D. A. Notterman, K. Gish, S. Ybarra, D. Mack, and A. J. Levine. Broad patterns of gene expression revealed by clustering analysis of tumor and normal colon tissues probed by oligonucleotide arrays. *Cell Biology*, 96:6745–6750, 1999.
- A.A. Amini and M. Wainwright. High-dimensional analysis of semidefinite relaxations for sparse principal components. *The Annals of Statistics*, 37(5B):2877–2921, 2009.
- J. Baik, G. Ben Arous, and S. Péché. Phase transition of the largest eigenvalue for nonnull complex sample covariance matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 33(5):1643–1697, 2005.
- F. Benaych-Georges, A. Guionnet, and M. Maida. Fluctuations of the extreme eigenvalues of finite rank deformations of random matrices. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:no. 60, 1621–1662, 2011. ISSN 1083-6489. doi: 10.1214/EJP.v16-929. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v16-929.
- Q. Berthet and P. Rigollet. Optimal detection of sparse principal components in high dimension. Arxiv preprint arXiv:1202.5070, 2012.
- A. d'Aspremont, F. Bach, and L. El Ghaoui. Optimal solutions for sparse principal component analysis. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9:1269–1294, 2008.
- A. d'Aspremont, F. Bach, and L. El Ghaoui. Approximation bounds for sparse principal component analysis. ArXiv: 1205.0121, 2012.
- S. Geman. A limit theorem for the norm of random matrices. The Annals of Probability, 8(2):252-261, 1980.
- I.M. Johnstone. On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis. Annals of Statistics, pages 295-327, 2001.
- N.E. Karoui. On the largest eigenvalue of wishart matrices with identity covariance when n, p and p/n tend to infinity. *Arxiv preprint math/0309355*, 2003.
- V.A. Marčenko and L.A. Pastur. Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices. *Mathematics of the USSR Sbornik*, 1(4): 457–483, 1967.
- T. Tao. Outliers in the spectrum of iid matrices with bounded rank perturbations. Probability Theory and Related Fields, pages 1–33, 2011.
- YQ Yin, ZD Bai, and PR Krishnaiah. On the limit of the largest eigenvalue of the large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 78(4):509–521, 1988.
- U. Zwick. Outward rotations: a tool for rounding solutions of semidefinite programming relaxations, with applications to max cut and other problems. In *Proceedings of the thirty-first annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 679–687. ACM, 1999.